

Hosted by LSE Works: LSE IDEAS

Drug Policies Beyond the War on Drugs

Dr John Collins

Executive Director, International
Drug Policy Project, LSE

Dr Joanne Csete

Commissioner, *Lancet*
Commission on Drug Policy

**Professor Lawrence
Phillips**

Emeritus Professor of Decision
Sciences, LSE

Dr Michael Shiner

Head of Teaching, IDPP and
Associate Professor of Social
Policy, LSE

Dr Mary Martin

Chair, LSE

EVENTS

Hashtag for Twitter users: #LSEworks

@lsepublicevents

lse.ac.uk/events



THE LONDON SCHOOL
OF ECONOMICS AND
POLITICAL SCIENCE

LEARNING FROM A FOILED REFORM

CANNABIS RECLASSIFICATION IN ENGLAND AND WALES



MICHAEL SHINER
INTERNATIONAL DRUG POLICY PROJECT, LSE IDEAS
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL POLICY

INTRODUCTION



Cannabis down-graded from Class B to C as of January 2004

- Only the second time a substance had been downgraded since the introduction of the Misuse of Drugs Act (1971)
- Daily Mail claimed it was tantamount of decriminalisation
- Prompted the resignation of the then Drug Tsar Keith Hellawell

Cannabis was moved back from Class C to B as of January 2009

- Prompted the dismissal of the then Chair of the ACMD, David Nutt
- But cannabis reclassification had already been subverted

What needs to explained?

- How did cannabis come to be reclassified in the first place?
- How was this apparent reform subverted?
- Why was reclassification reversed?
- What are the lessons for drug reform?



Shiner, M. (2015) 'Drug Policy Reform and the Reclassification of Cannabis in England and Wales: A Cautionary Tale', *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 26(7): 696–704

ORIGINS OF REFORM

Impetus for reform originated outside main political parties

- Independent Inquiry into Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (Police Foundation, 2000)
 - Demand will not be significantly reduced by deterrent effect of law
 - Reclassification of cannabis (B → C), ecstasy and LSD (A → B)
 - Supported abolition of prison sentences for most possession offences
 - Cannabis law causes more harm than it prevents

All Inquiry's recommendations were rejected by Government

A window of opportunity

- New Labour won 2001 General Election with massive majority
- Independent Inquiry report was warmly received by media
 - Calls for 'mature and serious national debate' (Daily Mail)
- Shifting political sands
 - Ann Widdecombe and zero-tolerance
 - Political confessions
 - Michael Portillo and decriminalisation
 - The Lambeth experiment

THE ROAD TO REFORM


After 2001 General Election, the new Home Secretary, David Blunkett, declared: 'There is room for an adult intelligent debate'

- Asked House of Commons Home Affairs Select Committee to review Government's drug policy
- Announced his intention to downgrade cannabis to this committee
- Asked Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (ACMD) to review classification in light of current scientific evidence
- Select Committee and ACMD endorsed proposed reclassification of cannabis


Reclassification of cannabis came into effect at beginning of 2004

- Consistently presented by Government as a way of freeing up police time to focus on drugs that cause most harm
- Power of arrest was retained, but the assumption for simple possession should be against arrest (ACPO, 2003)
- Cannabis Warnings – not form part of criminal record


NET-WIDENING



OUT OF COURT DISPOSALS




POLICE RECORDED POSSESSION OFFENCES AND RATES OF SELF-REPORTED DRUG USE



GOING AFTER DRUGS

STOP AND SEARCH



WHAT HAPPENED?



Police culture

- Prioritises crime-fighting and the maintenance of social order through assertions of moral authority
- Most officers are wedded to the drug war mentality and stay motivated by operational successes on a case-by-case basis (Bacon, 2016)
- Confiscating drugs provided officers with a tangible outcome that eluded them in many other situations (Bear, 2016)
- As an unwanted, externally imposed reform, the reclassification of cannabis was adapted to reflect priorities and practices of the police organisation

Managerialism and targets (Office for National Statistics, 2013)

- Increase in recorded drug offences coincided with Public Service Agreement targets
 - Priority given to increasing numbers of offences brought to justice
 - Drug offences have high clear up rates (sanctioned detections)
- Cannabis warnings and Public Service Agreement targets created a perverse incentive structure for officers to target cannabis possession

POLITICS

After cannabis was returned to Class B the whole process was described as a “debacle”, a “kerfuffle” and a “farce”

- But there is a logic to what happened
 - Structured ambivalence of state responses
 - Sovereign state strategy and adaptive strategies (e.g. reclassification)
 - Political actors and administrative actors

The political landscape changed and played on Labour fears

- New Labour’s ‘confidence deficit’
- Government went to considerable lengths to insulate itself from allegations that it was being “soft on crime” e.g. increased penalty’s for supply
- Michael Howard announced future Conservative government would reverse ‘absurd’ and ‘misconceived’ decision to downgrade cannabis, *before* reform was even introduced
- Media adopted a much more critical tone – Daily Mail, Melanie Phillips etc
- Tony Blair signalled reclassification on eve of 2005 General Election
- Implemented by Gordon Brown
- Symbolic gesture – ACPO guidance remained the same

The Telegraph

Home News World Sport Finance Comment Culture Travel Life Women Fashion
Politics Obits Education Earth Science Defence Health Scotland Royal Celebrities
Spending Review G8 Conservatives Liberal Democrats Labour Political Parties Scottish Po

HOME » NEWS » POLITICS

Jacqui Smith admits cannabis reclassification was wrong

Jacqui Smith has admitted that her decision to upgrade cannabis to a Class B drug when Home Secretary was wrong and that education would have been a better option than criminalisation.



Former Home Secretary Jacqui Smith Photo: Bloomberg/Chris Ratcliffe

By Victoria Ward

6:00AM GMT 20 Nov 2012

Print this article

Share 1K

Facebook 1K

Twitter 177

Email

LinkedIn 0

+1 0

Politics

News » UK News »
TV and Radio »
Victoria Ward »

In Politics



Fatuous, dangerous, utterly irresponsible - the Nutty professor who's distorting the truth about drugs

By [MELANIE PHILLIPS FOR THE DAILY MAIL](#)
UPDATED: 07:53, 4 November 2009

So you want to legalise cannabis? You must be as dumb as Nick Clegg

By [PETER HITCHENS FOR THE MAIL ON SUNDAY](#)
PUBLISHED: 00:35, 16 December 2012 | UPDATED: 00:50, 16 December 2012

**The terrible truth about cannabis:
Expert's devastating 20-year study
finally demolishes claims that smoking
pot is harmless**

CONCLUSION

Independent Inquiry illustrates what can be achieved by working within the system and seeking incremental change

- But also illustrates the limitations of this approach

Cannabis reclassification was subject to a double translation that diluted and undermined the reform

Lessons

- Reform is not a top-down process – resistance etc
- It is a process not an event – need to be able to respond to unintended consequences
- Details matter - sanctioned detections
- Need to understand organisational dynamics and incentive structures of all involved – police behaviour is responsive
- Need to measure the right thing
- Threats and allies

Hosted by LSE Works: LSE IDEAS

Drug Policies Beyond the War on Drugs

Dr John Collins

Executive Director, International
Drug Policy Project, LSE

Dr Joanne Csete

Commissioner, *Lancet*
Commission on Drug Policy

**Professor Lawrence
Phillips**

Emeritus Professor of Decision
Sciences, LSE

Dr Michael Shiner

Head of Teaching, IDPP and
Associate Professor of Social
Policy, LSE

Dr Mary Martin

Chair, LSE

EVENTS

Hashtag for Twitter users: #LSEworks

@lsepublicevents

lse.ac.uk/events



THE LONDON SCHOOL
OF ECONOMICS AND
POLITICAL SCIENCE