

This document provides copies of the ethical approval for the collection of data by the TransCrisis project team and these have been approved by the LSE. Details are provided on the procedures that will be implemented for data collection, storage, protection, retention and destruction and confirmation that they comply with national and EU legislation prior to any field work.

Ethics and Security

The ethical implications of the project and the individual work packages will be considered in the first meeting of the project meeting. A document outlining how research ethical-related issues will be addressed will be produced and published on the project's website. This will include details on the procedures and criteria that will be used to identify and recruit research participants and the respective consent procedures. The document will include information about our informed consent policy, and the procedures adopted for data collection, storage, protection and retention. We will pay particular attention to the issue of 'incidental findings'. No proposed field work is scheduled to begin before these issues have been addressed through the publication of our research ethics document and the submission of the copies of the ethical approvals for the collection of personal data.

The project's management committee will take lead responsibility on a day-to-day basis to ensure that ongoing research is conducted at the highest level of integrity, quality and transparency. The co-ordinator, in particular, will ensure that the research across the project follows ethical guidelines.

Data collection will be done in compliance with Article 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights in the European Union (specifically the article concerning the protection of personal data). In addition, the collection of data will be conducted in compliance with data protection acts, legislation, and directives, both at the European and the national level (for example, Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, the 1998 UK Data Protection Act). The co-ordinator will ensure that data will be stored securely during the period of the project, and provisions will be made to ensure that the data will be secured safely beyond the lifetime of this project. Confidential information will therefore be securely stored to prevent breaches of confidentiality.



Each researcher is bound by their institutional, professional, and national code for research ethics. We will incorporate information about the institutional ethics codes on the project website, including provisions as to how to lodge complaints. Each researcher will be bound by their institutional ethics oversight structures, such as university-based ethics committees. In addition, we will encourage researchers to pursue further training in research ethics. In general, the research across the work packages is designed to ensure integrity, quality and transparency. Each work package is required to identify risks to participants and researchers. Researchers and participants will be informed about the purpose, methods and intended purpose of the research, how their participation contributes to the overall research objectives, and how potential risks arising from their participation have been mitigated so as to eliminate all risk of harm arising to researchers and research participants. The research methods employed by the various work packages vary. They rely on documentation of different kinds (statutory provisions, budget data), secondary data, surveys of individuals and interviews. In those research activities that involve human participants, research will only be conducted on the basis of 'informed consent', i.e. participation will be free from any coercion. This applies in particular to those individuals involved in the survey-based research and in the research involving elite-based interviews. Individuals will be provided with information about the nature of the research, the conditions under which they are being asked to provide information, and about the way in which the findings will be presented.

The research does not involve 'vulnerable individuals' in the sense of the young or elderly, or impaired individuals. However, elite-interviews require particular interview codes as otherwise no frank exchange is possible. As the research projects do involve in some areas of higher sensitivity, research interviews at the elite level will follow standard academic conventions, i.e. they will be conducted on the basis of non-attributions. Research participants will be made fully aware of the purpose and the rationale of the research, their own contribution, and the way in which the findings will be processed. Interviewees will be invited to check transcripts of the interviews and to comment on matters of fact in draft papers.

The nature of the research conducted in the overall project does not engage in research areas where 'incidental findings' have caused primary concern, namely medical ethics. Data will be anonymised where appropriate. The primary research is to trace data and processes that are 'open', in other words, the research, especially also the one indicated in WP6, is not about investigating activities that have not been made public before. Interviews may reveal information that could be defined as 'incidental findings' and raise issues about the limits to confidentiality. Such cases will be governed by professional guidelines and national legislative requirements.



However, the risk of such an incident occurring is very low across all WPs, especially as the interest is in institutions and not individual activities.

Security

The project will **not** involve activities or results that raise security issues. EU-classified information will not be involved in research, and not in the results. This project includes an independent security expert, Bengt Sundelius (Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency), who will assess the security sensitivity of all deliverables.



Annex B: Research Ethics Review

This form should be completed for every research project that involves human participants or the use of information relating to directly identifiable individuals.

<u>PA</u>	RT I - CHECKLIST					
The	Checklist is designed to ident	tify the nature of any ethica	I issues raised by the	research.		
This	checklist must be completed	before potential participan	ts are approached to t	ake part in	any re	search.
1. N	ame of Researcher:					
	Status (mark with an 'X' as	Undergraduate student		Masters student		
	appropriate)	Research degree student		Staff	x	
	Email	F.a.w.j.vanesch@uu.nl	Telephone number	+3130253	8101	
	Department	Utrecht School of Govern	ance			
2. S	tudent Details if applicable					
	Degree programme:					
	Supervisor's name:		Supervisor's email:			
	Supervisor's department:			•		
3. T	tle of the proposal and brie	f abstract				
in the ena who	n-200 words – your abstract so thods that will be used.) NSCRISIS- WP3: This projude European Union with I provide empirically grouninant discourses and cital tribute to a better undersusers while remaining remethod of Cognitive Mathematical ble us to study leaders, command how leaders may timacy, political leaders!	ect investigates the ner a specific focus on <u>po</u> bunded insights in the cizen's perceptions of I standing of how politic esponsive to dominan apping, survey research citizens and discourses help resolve the inher	xus of political lead litical leaders during interaction betwee Europe. As such, the cal leaders may ining t public discourses h and special softwom from a distance. To	dership at ng the fin n their po nis study tiate sens s. We will vare tools This will c	nd leg ancia blicy i will ible co make that v larify ratic	gitimacy l crisis. It deas, risis e use of will where,
4. F	unding					
Is it	proposed that the research w	ill be funded? If so by wh	om? EU Horizon2	020		
	Please m	ark an X in the appropriate	right-hand column/bo	x Yes	No	Not certain
5. R	esearch that <i>may</i> need to b	e reviewed by an externa	l Ethics Committee			

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
i	Will the study require Health Research Authority approval? (See Note 1)		х	
i	Does the study involve participants lacking capacity to give informed consent? (See Note 2)		х	
ii	Is there any other reason why the study may need to be reviewed by another external Ethics Committee?		х	
	If you have answered Yes to any of the questions in section 5, go to Part I complete the rest of the Checklist)	I, C (the	ere is no	need to
6. C	Consent			
	Does the study involve participants who are potentially or in any way			
	vulnerable or who may have any difficulty giving meaningful consent to their		x	
	participation or the use of their information? (See Note 3)			
	Are participants to be enlisted in the study without their knowledge and		х	
	consent? (e.g. via covert observation of people in public places)		^	
i	Will the study require the co-operation of a gatekeeper for initial access to		\ ,	
	the groups or individuals to be recruited?		Х	
. F	Research Design / Methodology			
	Does the research methodology involve the use of deception? (See Note 4)		х	
	Are there any significant concerns regarding the design of the research			
	project? For example:			
	where research intrudes into the private sphere or delves into some			
	deeply personal experience;			
	where the study is concerned with deviance or social control;		х	
	where the study impinges on the vested interests of powerful persons or			
	the exercise of coercion or domination; or			
	where the research deals with things that are sacred to those being			
	studied that they do not wish profaned.			
i	If the proposed research relates to the provision of social or human services			
	is it feasible and/or appropriate that service users or service user		\ \ \	
	representatives should be in some way involved in or consulted upon the		Х	
	development of the project?			
. F	inancial Incentives			
	Are there payments to researchers/participants that may have an impact on		х	
	the objectivity of the research?		^	
	Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and		x	
	compensation for time) be offered to participants?		^	
). F	Research Subjects			
	Could the study induce unacceptable psychological stress or anxiety or cause			
	harm or negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in normal life?		X	
	Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?			
	Will the study involve discussion of sensitive topics? For example (but not			
	limited to): sexual activity, illegal behaviour, experience of violence or abuse,		Х	
	drug use, etc.). (Please refer to the Research Ethics Policy, § 13).			
i	Are drugs, placebos or other substances to be administered to the study			
	participants or will the study involve invasive, intrusive or potentially harmful		Х	
	procedures of any kind?		1	

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
i	Will research involve the sharing of data or confidential information beyond the initial consent given?		Х	
ii	Will the research involve respondents to the internet, e.g. social media, or other visual/vocal methods		Х	
iii	Will the research involve administrative or secure data that requires permission from the appropriate authorities before use?		Х	
11.	Legal requirements			
	The Data Protection Act 1998 will apply to any data-processing activities entailed by this research. Is there any cause for uncertainty as to whether the research will fully comply with the requirements of the Act? (See Note 5)		х	
12.	Dissemination			
	Are there any particular groups who are likely to be harmed by dissemination of the results of this project?		Х	
13.	Risk to researchers			
	Do you have any doubts or concerns regarding your (or your colleagues) physical or psychological wellbeing during the research period?		х	

PART II: SELF CERTIFICATION AND/OR NEXT STEPS

A If, after careful consideration, you have answered **No** to all the questions (whether you are a member of the academic staff or a student), you do not need to complete the questionnaire in Part III, unless you are subject to some external requirement that requires you to seek formal approval from the School's Research Ethics Committee. You should tick Box **A** in the **Self-Certification Section** below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

B If you have answered **Yes** or **Not certain** to any of the questions in sections 6-13 of the checklist you will need to consider more fully how you plan to deal with the ethical issues raised by your research. Answering the relevant questions in the Questionnaire in Part III below may assist you. Alternatively, your own department or institute may have alternative forms or procedures to assist you. If having done so you are wholly assured that adequate safeguards in relation to the ethical issues raised can and will be put in place, you may tick **Box B** in the Self-certification Section below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

C If you have answered Yes in section 5 that your research will be subject to an external ethics committee, please tick **Box C** below and send the completed Checklist (questions 1-5) to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>. You should submit your research for ethics approval to the appropriate body. Once approval is granted please send a copy of the letter of approval to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>.

Students who self-certify their research proposals should do so in consultation with their supervisors.

If you are unable to self-certify your proposed research you should in any event complete the questionnaire in Part III below and complete the **Refer to Research Ethics Committee Section** at the end of the form.

SELF-CERTIFICATION

Select A, B or C (delete as appropriate):

I have read and understood the LSE Research Ethics Policy and the questions contained in the Checklist above and confirm:

A that no significant ethical issues are raised by the research					
Please sign the relevant se	ection below				
Academic Research Staff					
Summary of any ethical issue	es identified an	d safeguards to be taken (ex	pand box a	s necessar	y):
Citizens will be asked for the answers to the survey and co	ontent of their o	cognitive map will be guarante	eed.		
course of my career and/or h proposed research:					
Researcher signature:	Ess.		Date:	29 April 2	015
Undergraduate/Taught Po	ostgraduate St	udent/PhD Student			
Summary of any ethical iss	ues identified a	nd safeguards to be taken (e	xpand box	as necessa	ary):
		aining in research ethics in the isor or other expert with rega			
Student's signature:			Date:		
Supervisor's signature:			Date:		
by her/his research; these h	nave to the bes	at the student has been advis t of the supervisor's understa n made aware of her/his resp	nding been	adequatel	y addressed in the
Part III - QUESTIONNA	AIRE				
The questionnaire enables y are intending to submit your	•	_	-		-
1. Research aims					
Please provide brief (no mor background of the research to to acquaint the Committee w nonetheless be attached to t	and the method ith the principa	ds that will be used. This sum I features of the proposal. A c	mary shoul copy of the	d contain s full propos	ufficient information
2. Informed consent					
		give informed consent in writination about the study? If not		they be ask	ked to confirm that

	Please attach a draft information sheet and/or consent form if this has been prepared
ii	How has the study been discussed or are there plans to discuss the study with those likely to be involved, including potential participants or those who may represent their views?
iii	Has information (written and oral) about the study been prepared in an appropriate form and language for potential participants? At what point in the study will this information be offered? (see Annex A of the research ethics policy for links to guidance on informed consent).
iv	How will potential participants be informed of whether there will be adverse consequences of a decision not to participate? Or of a decision to withdraw during the course of the study?
V	What provision has been made to respond to queries and problems raised by participants during the course of the study?
3. I	Research design and methodology
i	Where relevant, how does the research methodology justify the use of deception?
ii	If the proposed research involves the deception of persons in vulnerable groups, can the information sought be obtained by other means?
iii	
	How will data be collected and analysed during the project?
iv	How have the ethical and legal dimensions of the process of collecting, analyzing and storing the data been
	addressed?
V	What concerns have been taken into account with regard to the preparation and design of the research project? If agencies, communities or individuals are to be directly affected by the research (e.g. participants, service users, vulnerable communities or relations), what means have you devised to ensure that any harm or distress is minimized and/or that the research is sensitive to the particular needs and perspectives of those so affected?
vi	Have you been able to devise a timetable of research?
4. E	Ethical questions arising from the provision of incentives
i	Are any incentives being offered to participants?
5. F	Research participants
i	Who do you identify as the participants in the project? Are other people who are not participants likely to be directly impacted by the project?
ii	What are the specific risks to research participants or third parties?

iii	If the research involves pain, stress, physical or emotional risk, please detail the steps taken to minimize such effects.
6. (Confidentiality
i	What arrangements have been made to preserve confidentiality for the participants or those potentially affected, and compliance with data protection law?
7. I	Dissemination
i	Will the results of the study be offered to those participants or other affected parties who wish to receive them? If so, what steps have been taken to minimize any discomfort or misrepresentation that may result at the dissemination stage.
8. I	Risk to researchers
i	Are there any risks to researchers? If so, please provide details.

REFER TO RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE

Approval is required by the Research Ethics Committee on one or more of the following grounds (please mark with an 'X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column):

- a. Significant ethical issues are raised by the research, including research characterised by one or more of the following features:
 - (i) Research involving deception of participants, or which is conducted without their full and informed consent at the time the study is carried out or when the data is gathered, or which involves the use of confidential information.
 - (ii) Research involving more than minimal risk of harm to participants, such as:
 - research involving vulnerable groups
 - research involving personally intrusive or ethically sensitive topics
 - research involving groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial access to members
 - research which would induce unacceptable psychological stress, anxiety or humiliation or cause more than minimal pain
- b. The researcher wants to seek the advice of the Research Ethics Committee
- c. External obligations (for instance, funder requirements, data access requirements) require it
- d. Research undertaken by a student or member of staff who has not received appropriate training or has insufficient experience in research ethics and has been unable to access appropriate advice or support.

NOTES

1. If your research involves NHS patients, staff or premises then it will most likely fall under the remit of the NHS Research Ethics Committees; similarly, social care research involving adults, intergenerational social care studies involving adults and children or families and some proposals for social science studies situated in the NHS will fall under the remit of the Social Care Research Ethics Committee. For further guidance see:

http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-which-review-body-approvals-are-required/

- 2. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, research involving adults aged 16 or over with learning difficulties or who otherwise 'lack capacity' will be subject to approval by an NHS REC if that research is deemed to be 'intrusive'. For guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/research-legislation-and-governance/questions-and-answers-mental-capacity-act-2005/
- 3. As general guidance, research participants under the age of 18 may be vulnerable. Also, see Note 2 above re the Mental Capacity Act.
- 4. Deception can occur at a variety of levels: for example, at one level, experimental methods may depend on participants being deliberately misled as to the true nature or purpose of the research in which they are taking part; at another, covert participant observation may entail an implicit deception as to the true identity and role of the researcher. Deception may be a legitimate and necessary feature of social scientific research, but its use must always be properly justified.
- 5. Please refer to the School's guidance on handling the Data Protection aspects of research data: http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/LSEServices/legalAndCompliance/dataProtection/researchData.asp. Further information about the Data Protection Act 1998 can be found in Annex A of the research ethics policy



Prof. Nick Sitter

30/06/2015

Dear Nick,

I write as the Chair of Central European University's Ethical Research Committee to confirm that there are no ethical issues that require reviewing or monitoring for your project 'Enhancing the EU's Transboundary Crisis Management Capacities: Strategies for Multi-Level Leadership'.

Best wishes,

Prem Kumar Rajaram

Ethics & Data Management Statement TransCrisis

The members of the TransCrisis consortium are committed to upholding the highest standards of academic integrity and research ethics that apply to social science research.

- 1. The TransCrisis management committee will take lead responsibility to ensure that the ongoing research is conducted at the highest level of integrity, quality and transparency. Each work package is embedded within institutional research ethics frameworks and committees. Where these bodies do not exist, the research ethics framework that applies to the co-ordinator, the London School of Economics, will apply. All partners are expected to conduct their research in keeping with the principles of the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity.¹
- 2. The primary responsibility for the conduct of the research lies with the individual leader of a research package (sub-package). Each package is required to identify risks to researchers and research participants and identify ways of mitigating these risks. The co-ordinator will ensure that TransCrisis-related research follows ethical guidelines and is approved by the appropriate institutional oversight and ethics committees. The initial ethics reports regarding each package (sub-package) will be collated.
- 3. Concerns regarding the ethical conduct of research conducted under the TransCrisis project should be raised, in the first instance, with the TransCrisis Project Manager. Contact information will be provided on the project website.
- 4. The biannual consortium meetings will devote time for the consideration of emerging research ethics issues that may not have been foreseen at the outset of the research.
- 5. Unethical research behaviour will not be tolerated and will be raised as part of consortium meetings. Depending on severity, failure to comply may lead to the exclusion from the consortium, after consultation with the funder. The process of such investigation will be guided by the principles of integrity, fairness, uniformity, confidentiality and no detriment. All members of the TransCrisis consortium are committed to co-operate and support such investigations. They are also committed to consent to the conclusions of such processes and to take appropriate actions (subject to appropriate appeal processes).
- 6. Research staff will seek opportunities to train in research ethics as part of their activities in the TransCrisis consortium. Such opportunities will be encouraged as part of career development opportunities.

The following principles guide the TransCrisis project:²

7. All research is designed, undertaken and reviewed as part of the consortium to ensure integrity, quality and transparency.

¹ http://www.esf.org/fileadmin/Public_documents/Publications/Code_Conduct_ResearchIntegrity.pdf

² The provisions follow the UK ESRC Framework for Research Ethics 2015, http://www.esrc.ac.uk/_images/framework-for-research-ethics_tcm8-33470.pdf

- 8. Researchers and research participants will be fully informed about the purpose, method and intended usage of the research, what their contribution to the research is and what risks, if any, may arise from participation.
- 9. Confidentiality of information supplied by research participants and the anonymity of respondents must be respected. The dignity and autonomy of research participants will be respected at all times.
- 10. Research participants must participate voluntarily, without any form of coercion.
- 11. Harm to researchers and research participants must be avoided at all times.
- 12. The independence of the research must be transparent and any potential conflict of interest must be declared.
- 13. Risks must be minimised.

Across the diverse work packages, TransCrisis research shares the following characteristics:

- 14. All primary research will be conducted under the principle of informed consent; participants will not contribute without their knowledge and consent. Participants will have the right to withdraw at any time. (These principles will be set out in the project information sheet and (where applicable) consent form for participants.)
- 14. It generally does not involve vulnerable individuals who are not in a position to give informed consent.
- 16. The research methods across the different packages vary. They rely on the analysis of documentation of different kinds (for example, statutory provisions, budget data, voting records, public speeches). Other research will involve elite interviews, surveys and secondary survey data.
- 17. The research may, at times, involve sensitive topics. This research, especially in the case of elite interviews, will be conducted under the principle of 'informed consent' and under conditions of non-attributability of information so as to protect the anonymity of the participant. The conditions will be explicitly set out in the information sheet and letter requesting the meeting with a research participant and the conditions will be reiterated at the outset of the conversation. The letter will also illustrate why the individual's participation is being sought. As most of the research will involve elite interviews, participants should be aware of the nature of academic research and the conventions and rules that govern social science research. Participants will be granted the opportunity to comment on transcripts and draft papers in order to correct factual information. The right to comment does not affect researchers' rights to interpret and analyse. The principle of critical social science research shall not, however, deflect from the commitment to treat all participants respectfully.
- 18. Research involving survey methods will be governed by the academic conventions governing this methodology. Individual level data will be anonymised so as to protect participants, participation will be voluntarily and the purpose of the research will be made transparent to participants so as to enable fully informed voluntary choice as to whether to participate.
- 19. No financial inducements will be offered for research participation.

- 20. The research is not expected to expose researchers to any particular risks. However, leaders of individual work packages (sub-packages) and the overall consortium will continuously monitor potential emerging risks.
- 21. Research may establish incidental findings. The likelihood of such findings is low as TransCrisis does not directly cover areas where incidental findings have caused primary concern, namely medical ethics. Such unlikely incidents (unlikely given the nature of research) will not be used for the purposes of the research. Data will be anonymised where appropriate. The primary research across all TransCrisis research activities is to trace data and processes that are 'open', namely it is about investigating phenomena that are in the public domain. In the unlikely event of incidental findings, such a finding may also lead to issues regarding limits to confidentiality (i.e. evidence of severe risk to the participant or (planned/committed) criminal behaviour, corruption). In these cases, national legislation and professional guidelines will determine when confidentiality has to be breached. The participants will, where possible, be informed about the steps undertaken, without placing researchers at risk of harm.
- 22. Data for the individual project will be stored at host organisations. The data of relevance for the wider TransCrisis project will be stored on a secure internal project platform, managed by the coordinator. The primary responsibility for the security of data storage therefore lies with the leaders of the work packages (sub-packages). Therefore
- i) All participants are bound by their institutional policies towards data management and secure data storage, including back-up facilities. All partner organisations have frameworks in place that provide for back-up facilities and wider system robustness. and The broader approach towards intellectual property rights is set out in the consortium agreement.
- ii) The different data collected in the context of the TransCrisis project will be securely stored. Data that is relevant for the whole consortium will be securely stored on the internal consortium website. Data includes anonymised interview transcripts and notes, survey information, institutional analysis, computer-coded analysis of speeches, and bibliographic research. The consortium management committee will decided on a harmonised approach towards data storage in order to facilitate joint working.
- iii) The co-ordinator and the individual work package leaders are responsible versioning of the data.
- iv) Work-package leaders are responsible for decisions regarding data retention, the coordinator is responsible, in co-operation with the management committee, for questions about the retention of data beyond the life-time of the project and the transfer of the data to a data archive.
- v) Data will be made available for wider dissemination at the conclusion of the project, or as soon as feasible thereafter. Data will be anonymised to protect the identity of participants.
- 23. The TransCrisis will explore data archives for the long-term storage of research materials and ensure that the external project website will be archived. In line with the principle of Open Access to research data, the management team will consider depositing any data arising from the project in anonymised form in a suitable repository such as the UK Data Service³ at the end of the project. (Participants in the research will be informed of this).
- 24. Data collection will be conducted in compliance with Article 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights in the European Union, specifically the provisions concerning the protection of personal data. In addition, the collection of data will be conducted in compliance with data protection acts, legislation, and directives, both at the European and national level (for example, Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of

_

³ http://ukdataservice.ac.uk/

individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data). Data will be secured safely throughout the duration of the project and beyond.

23. To ensure ethical rigour and that the ethical requirements of each country is met respective work-package leaders will be responsible for obtaining the appropriate institutional ethics approval for any collection of personal data.

April 2015

Annex B: Research Ethics Review

This form should be completed for every research project that involves human participants or the use of information relating to directly identifiable individuals.

PA	RT I - CHECKLIST				
The	Checklist is designed to ident	tify the nature of any ethica	I issues raised by the	research.	
This	checklist must be completed	before potential participan	ts are approached to t	ake part in ar	ıy research.
1. N	ame of Researcher	prof. Dr. Arjen Boin, Crisisp	olan BV		
	Status (mark with an 'X' as	Undergraduate student		Masters student	
	appropriate)	Research degree student		Staff	Х
	Email		Telephone number		
	Department				
2. S	udent Details if applicable				
	Degree programme:	N/A			
	Supervisor's name:		Supervisor's email:		
	Supervisor's department:				
3. Ti	tle of the proposal and brie	f abstract			
•	-200 words – your abstract si nods that will be used.)	hould outline in non-technic	cal language the purpo	se of the res	earch and the
Pro	Horizon 20/20: TransCrisis Project Number: 649484 Start date: 1 April, 2015				
4. F	4. Funding				
Is it	Is it proposed that the research will be funded? If so by whom? European Commission, Horizon 20/20				

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
5. F	Research that <i>may</i> need to be reviewed by an external Ethics Committee			
i	Will the study require Health Research Authority approval? (See Note 1)		T_{x}	
ii	Does the study involve participants lacking capacity to give informed consent? (See Note 2)		X	
ii	Is there any other reason why the study may need to be reviewed by another external Ethics Committee?		Х	
	If you have answered Yes to any of the questions in section 5, go to Part II complete the rest of the Checklist)	I, C (the	ere is no	need to

Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
6. Consent			
Does the study involve participants who are potentially or in any way vulnerable or who may have any difficulty giving meaningful consent to their participation or the use of their information? (See Note 3)		X	
Are participants to be enlisted in the study without their knowledge and consent? (e.g. via covert observation of people in public places)		×	
Will the study require the co-operation of a gatekeeper for initial access to the groups or individuals to be recruited?		X	
7. Research Design / Methodology			
Does the research methodology involve the use of deception? (See Note 4)		X	
 Are there any significant concerns regarding the design of the research project? For example: where research intrudes into the private sphere or delves into some deeply personal experience; where the study is concerned with deviance or social control; where the study impinges on the vested interests of powerful persons or the exercise of coercion or domination; or where the research deals with things that are sacred to those being studied that they do not wish profaned. 		×	
If the proposed research relates to the provision of social or human services is it feasible and/or appropriate that service users or service user representatives should be in some way involved in or consulted upon the development of the project?		×	
3. Financial Incentives			
Are there payments to researchers/participants that may have an impact on the objectivity of the research?		х	
Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation for time) be offered to participants?		X	
9. Research Subjects			
Could the study induce unacceptable psychological stress or anxiety or cause harm or negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in normal life? Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?		×	
Will the study involve discussion of sensitive topics? For example (but not limited to): sexual activity, illegal behaviour, experience of violence or abuse, drug use, etc.). (Please refer to the Research Ethics Policy, § 13).		X	
Are drugs, placebos or other substances to be administered to the study participants or will the study involve invasive, intrusive or potentially harmful procedures of any kind?		X	
0. Confidentiality			
Will research involve the sharing of data or confidential information beyond the initial consent given?		x	
Will the research involve respondents to the internet, e.g. social media, or other visual/vocal methods		X	
Will the research involve administrative or secure data that requires permission from the appropriate authorities before use?		X	
1. Legal requirements			

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
	The Data Protection Act 1998 will apply to any data-processing activities entailed by this research. Is there any cause for uncertainty as to whether the research will fully comply with the requirements of the Act? (See Note 5)		X	
12.	Dissemination			
	Are there any particular groups who are likely to be harmed by dissemination of the results of this project?		X	
13.	Risk to researchers			
1	Do you have any doubts or concerns regarding your (or your colleagues) physical or psychological wellbeing during the research period?		Х	

PART II: SELF CERTIFICATION AND/OR NEXT STEPS

- A If, after careful consideration, you have answered **No** to all the questions (whether you are a member of the academic staff or a student), you do not need to complete the questionnaire in Part III, unless you are subject to some external requirement that requires you to seek formal approval from the School's Research Ethics Committee. You should tick Box **A** in the **Self-Certification Section** below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.
- **B** If you have answered **Yes** or **Not certain** to any of the questions in sections 6-13 of the checklist you will need to consider more fully how you plan to deal with the ethical issues raised by your research. Answering the relevant questions in the Questionnaire in Part III below may assist you. Alternatively, your own department or institute may have alternative forms or procedures to assist you. If having done so you are wholly assured that adequate safeguards in relation to the ethical issues raised can and will be put in place, you may tick **Box B** in the Self-certification Section below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.
- **C** If you have answered Yes in section 5 that your research will be subject to an external ethics committee, please tick **Box C** below and send the completed Checklist (questions 1-5) to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>. You should submit your research for ethics approval to the appropriate body. Once approval is granted please send a copy of the letter of approval to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>.

Students who self-certify their research proposals should do so in consultation with their supervisors.

If you are unable to self-certify your proposed research you should in any event complete the questionnaire in Part III below and complete the **Refer to Research Ethics Committee Section** at the end of the form.

SELF-CERTIFICATION

Select A, B or C (delete as appropriate):

I have read and understood the LSE Research Ethics Policy and the questions contained in the Checklist above and confirm:

- A that no significant ethical issues are raised by the research, or
- B that adequate safeguards in relation to such issues can and will be put in place, or
- C that the research will be subject to an external ethics review

Please sign the relevant section below

				WO 1864 A.
Ac	cademic Research Staff	WHINCE TO		
Su	ımmary of any ethical issues identified ar	nd safeguards to be taken (e	expand box	as necessary):
СО	ereby confirm that I have undertaken trai urse of my career and/or have sought an oposed research:			
	esearcher signature:		Date:	12-5-2015
L	Indergraduate/Taught Postgraduate Si	tudent/PhD Student		
S	ummary of any ethical issues identified a	and safeguards to be taken (expand box	as necessary):
		AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON		
-				
C	hereby confirm that I have undertaken tra onsulted and been advised by my superv esearch.			
S	tudent's signature:		Date:	
S	upervisor's signature:		Date:	
b ₁	y signing here the supervisor confirms the her/his research; these have to the best esearch design; and the student has been esearch.	t of the supervisor's underst	anding beer	n adequately addressed in the
Th	art III - QUESTIONNAIRE e questionnaire enables you to explain he intending to submit your proposal to the			
	Research aims			
Ple bac to a	pase provide brief (no more than 500 wor ckground of the research and the method acquaint the Committee with the principa netheless be attached to this document in	ds that will be used. This sur Il features of the proposal. A	mmary shou copy of the	lld contain sufficient information full proposal should
2.	Informed consent	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1994 A 1994
i	Will potential participants be asked to g they have received and read the inform Please attach a draft information sheet	nation about the study? If no	t, why not?	-
ii	How has the study been discussed or a including potential participants or those			those likely to be involved,
iii	Has information (written and oral) abou potential participants? At what point in the			

	research ethics policy for links to guidance on informed consent).
iv	How will potential participants be informed of whether there will be adverse consequences of a decision not to participate? Or of a decision to withdraw during the course of the study?
V	What provision has been made to respond to queries and problems raised by participants during the course of the study?
3.	Research design and methodology
1	Where relevant, how does the research methodology justify the use of deception?
ii	If the proposed research involves the deception of persons in vulnerable groups, can the information sought be obtained by other means?
iii	How will data be collected and analysed during the project?
	The will date so consciou and analyses daming the project.
iv	How have the ethical and legal dimensions of the process of collecting, analyzing and storing the data been addressed?
V	What concerns have been taken into account with regard to the preparation and design of the research project? If agencies, communities or individuals are to be directly affected by the research (e.g. participants, service users, vulnerable communities or relations), what means have you devised to ensure that any harm or distress is minimized and/or that the research is sensitive to the particular needs and perspectives of those so affected?
vi	Have you been able to devise a timetable of research?
	Ethical questions arising from the provision of incentives
İ	Are any incentives being offered to participants?
5. F	Research participants
i	Who do you identify as the participants in the project? Are other people who are not participants likely to be directly impacted by the project?
.,	
ii	What are the specific risks to research participants or third parties?
iii	If the research involves pain, stress, physical or emotional risk, please detail the steps taken to minimize such effects.
6.0	Confidentiality
i. c	What arrangements have been made to preserve confidentiality for the participants or those potentially
•	affected, and compliance with data protection law?

7. [Dissemination
i	Will the results of the study be offered to those participants or other affected parties who wish to receive them? If so, what steps have been taken to minimize any discomfort or misrepresentation that may result at the dissemination stage.
8. F	Risk to researchers
i	Are there any risks to researchers? If so, please provide details.

	proval is required by the Research Ethics Committee on one or more of the following grounds (please mark witl X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column):
a.	Significant ethical issues are raised by the research, including research characterised by one or more of the following features: (i) Research involving deception of participants, or which is conducted without their full and informed consent at the time the study is carried out or when the data is gathered, or which involves the use of confidential information. (ii) Research involving more than minimal risk of harm to participants, such as: o research involving vulnerable groups o research involving personally intrusive or ethically sensitive topics o research involving groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial access to members o research which would induce unacceptable psychological stress, anxiety or humiliation or cause more than minimal pain
b.	The researcher wants to seek the advice of the Research Ethics Committee
Э.	External obligations (for instance, funder requirements, data access requirements) require it
d.	Research undertaken by a student or member of staff who has not received appropriate training or has insufficient experience in research ethics and has been unable to access appropriate advice or support.

NOTES

- 1. If your research involves NHS patients, staff or premises then it will most likely fall under the remit of the NHS Research Ethics Committees; similarly, social care research involving adults, intergenerational social care studies involving adults and children or families and some proposals for social science studies situated in the NHS will fall under the remit of the Social Care Research Ethics Committee. For further guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-which-review-body-approvals-are-required/
- 2. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, research involving adults aged 16 or over with learning difficulties or who otherwise 'lack capacity' will be subject to approval by an NHS REC if that research is deemed to be 'intrusive'. For guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/research-legislation-and-governance/questions-and-answers-mental-capacity-act-2005/
- 3. As general guidance, research participants under the age of 18 may be vulnerable. Also, see Note 2 above re the Mental Capacity Act.

- 4. Deception can occur at a variety of levels: for example, at one level, experimental methods may depend on participants being deliberately misled as to the true nature or purpose of the research in which they are taking part; at another, covert participant observation may entail an implicit deception as to the true identity and role of the researcher. Deception may be a legitimate and necessary feature of social scientific research, but its use must always be properly justified.
- 5. Please refer to the School's guidance on handling the Data Protection aspects of research data: http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/LSEServices/legalAndCompliance/dataProtection/researchData.asp. Further information about the Data Protection Act 1998 can be found in Annex A of the research ethics policy

Annex B: Research Ethics Review

This form should be completed for every research project that involves human participants or the use of information relating to directly identifiable individuals.

PART I - CHECKLIST						
The Checklist is designed to identify the nature of any ethical issues raised by the research. This checklist must be completed before potential participants are approached to take part in any research.						
1. N	ame of Researcher: Jacint	Jordana				
	Status (mark with an 'X' as	Undergraduate student		Masters student		
	appropriate)	Research degree student		Staff		
	Email jjordanaibei.org		Telephone number			
	Department	Institut Barcelona d'Estud	lis Internacionals			
2. S	tudent Details if applicable					
	Degree programme:					
	Supervisor's name:		Supervisor's email:			
	Supervisor's department:					
3. Ti	tle of the proposal and brie	f abstract				
(150-200 words – your abstract should outline in non-technical language the purpose of the research and the methods that will be used.)						
4. Funding						
ls it	proposed that the research w	ill be funded? Yes If so b	y whom? European	Union		

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
5. R	Research that may need to be reviewed by an external Ethics Committee			
i	Will the study require Health Research Authority approval? (See Note 1)		Х	
ii	Does the study involve participants lacking capacity to give informed consent? (See Note 2)		х	
iii	Is there any other reason why the study may need to be reviewed by another external Ethics Committee?		Х	
	If you have answered Yes to any of the questions in section 5, go to Part I complete the rest of the Checklist)	I, C (the	re is no	need to

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
6. C	consent			
i	Does the study involve participants who are potentially or in any way vulnerable or who may have any difficulty giving meaningful consent to their participation or the use of their information? (See Note 3)		x	
ii	Are participants to be enlisted in the study without their knowledge and consent? (e.g. via covert observation of people in public places)			Х
ii	Will the study require the co-operation of a gatekeeper for initial access to the groups or individuals to be recruited?		Х	
7. R	Research Design / Methodology			
	Does the research methodology involve the use of deception? (See Note 4)		Х	
ii	 Are there any significant concerns regarding the design of the research project? For example: where research intrudes into the private sphere or delves into some deeply personal experience; where the study is concerned with deviance or social control; where the study impinges on the vested interests of powerful persons or the exercise of coercion or domination; or where the research deals with things that are sacred to those being studied that they do not wish profaned. 		x	
ii	If the proposed research relates to the provision of social or human services is it feasible and/or appropriate that service users or service user representatives should be in some way involved in or consulted upon the development of the project?		x	
8. F	inancial Incentives			
	Are there payments to researchers/participants that may have an impact on the objectivity of the research?		Х	
İ	Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation for time) be offered to participants?		Х	
9. R	Research Subjects		<u> </u>	
į	Could the study induce unacceptable psychological stress or anxiety or cause harm or negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in normal life? Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?		Х	
i	Will the study involve discussion of sensitive topics? For example (but not limited to): sexual activity, illegal behaviour, experience of violence or abuse, drug use, etc.). (Please refer to the Research Ethics Policy, § 13).		х	
ii	Are drugs, placebos or other substances to be administered to the study participants or will the study involve invasive, intrusive or potentially harmful procedures of any kind?		x	
10.	Confidentiality			
	Will research involve the sharing of data or confidential information beyond the initial consent given?			Х
i	Will the research involve respondents to the internet, e.g. social media, or other visual/vocal methods	Х		
iii	Will the research involve administrative or secure data that requires permission from the appropriate authorities before use?		Х	

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
11.	Legal requirements			
	The Data Protection Act 1998 will apply to any data-processing activities entailed by this research. Is there any cause for uncertainty as to whether the research will fully comply with the requirements of the Act? (See Note 5)		x	
12.	Dissemination			
	Are there any particular groups who are likely to be harmed by dissemination of the results of this project?		Х	
13.	Risk to researchers			
	Do you have any doubts or concerns regarding your (or your colleagues) physical or psychological wellbeing during the research period?		Х	

PART II: SELF CERTIFICATION AND/OR NEXT STEPS

A If, after careful consideration, you have answered **No** to all the questions (whether you are a member of the academic staff or a student), you do not need to complete the questionnaire in Part III, unless you are subject to some external requirement that requires you to seek formal approval from the School's Research Ethics Committee. You should tick Box **A** in the **Self-Certification Section** below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

B If you have answered **Yes** or **Not certain** to any of the questions in sections 6-13 of the checklist you will need to consider more fully how you plan to deal with the ethical issues raised by your research. Answering the relevant questions in the Questionnaire in Part III below may assist you. Alternatively, your own department or institute may have alternative forms or procedures to assist you. If having done so you are wholly assured that adequate safeguards in relation to the ethical issues raised can and will be put in place, you may tick **Box B** in the Self-certification Section below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

C If you have answered Yes in section 5 that your research will be subject to an external ethics committee, please tick **Box C** below and send the completed Checklist (questions 1-5) to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>. You should submit your research for ethics approval to the appropriate body. Once approval is granted please send a copy of the letter of approval to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>.

Students who self-certify their research proposals should do so in consultation with their supervisors.

If you are unable to self-certify your proposed research you should in any event complete the questionnaire in Part III below and complete the **Refer to Research Ethics Committee Section** at the end of the form.

SELF-CERTIFICATION

Select A, B or C (delete as appropriate):

I have read and understood the LSE Research Ethics Policy and the questions contained in the Checklist above and confirm:

A that no significant ethical issues are raised by the research, or

Academic Research Stat	ff				
Summary of any ethical is:	sues identified an	d safeguards to be	e taken (exp	and box a	s necessary):
					nce in research ethics in the vith the ethical aspects of the
Researcher signature:			ı	Date:	6 May 2015
Undergraduate/Taught	Postgraduate St	udent/PhD Stude	ent		
Summary of any ethical i	ssues identified a	nd safeguards to I	be taken (ex	pand box	as necessary):
					my studies and/or that I have al implications of my proposed
Student's signature:				Date:	
Supervisor's signature:				Date:	
by her/his research; thes	e have to the bes	t of the supervisor	's understan	ding been	on to any ethical issues raised adequately addressed in the for the ethical conduct of her/hi
Part III - QUESTION	NAIRE				
The questionnaire enables are intending to submit yo					earch will be addressed. If you be completed in full.
1. Research aims					
	ch and the method with the principa	ds that will be used I features of the pi	d. This summ roposal. A co	nary should	
2. Informed consent					
i Will potential participa they have received an Please attach a draft	nd read the inform	nation about the st	udy? If not, v	why not?	they be asked to confirm that

ii	How has the study been discussed or are there plans to discuss the study with those likely to be involved, including potential participants or those who may represent their views?
iii	Has information (written and oral) about the study been prepared in an appropriate form and language for potential participants? At what point in the study will this information be offered? (see Annex A of the research ethics policy for links to guidance on informed consent).
iv	How will potential participants be informed of whether there will be adverse consequences of a decision not to participate? Or of a decision to withdraw during the course of the study?
V	What provision has been made to respond to queries and problems raised by participants during the course of the study?
_	
3.	Research design and methodology
'	Where relevant, how does the research methodology justify the use of deception?
ii	If the proposed research involves the deception of persons in vulnerable groups, can the information sought be obtained by other means?
iii	How will data be collected and analysed during the project?
_	
iv	How have the ethical and legal dimensions of the process of collecting, analyzing and storing the data been addressed?
	What are any bound have taken into account with an end to the appropriate and desires of the account.
V	What concerns have been taken into account with regard to the preparation and design of the research project? If agencies, communities or individuals are to be directly affected by the research (e.g. participants, service users, vulnerable communities or relations), what means have you devised to ensure that any harm or distress is minimized and/or that the research is sensitive to the particular needs and perspectives of those so affected?
vi	Have you been able to devise a timetable of research?
4.	Ethical questions arising from the provision of incentives
i	Are any incentives being offered to participants?
5.	Research participants
i	Who do you identify as the participants in the project? Are other people who are not participants likely to be directly impacted by the project?
ii	What are the specific risks to research participants or third parties?
	with a re the specific risks to research participants of third parties?
iii	If the research involves pain, stress, physical or emotional risk, please detail the steps taken to minimize such effects.

6. Confidentiality

What arrangements have been made to preserve confidentiality for the participants or those potentially affected, and compliance with data protection law?

7. Dissemination

i Will the results of the study be offered to those participants or other affected parties who wish to receive them? If so, what steps have been taken to minimize any discomfort or misrepresentation that may result at the dissemination stage.

8. Risk to researchers

Are there any risks to researchers? If so, please provide details.

REFER TO RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE

Approval is required by the Research Ethics Committee on one or more of the following grounds (please mark with an 'X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column):

- a. Significant ethical issues are raised by the research, including research characterised by one or more of the following features:
 - (i) Research involving deception of participants, or which is conducted without their full and informed consent at the time the study is carried out or when the data is gathered, or which involves the use of confidential information.
 - (ii) Research involving more than minimal risk of harm to participants, such as:
 - research involving vulnerable groups
 - o research involving personally intrusive or ethically sensitive topics
 - o research involving groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial access to members
 - research which would induce unacceptable psychological stress, anxiety or humiliation or cause more than minimal pain
- b. The researcher wants to seek the advice of the Research Ethics Committee
- c. External obligations (for instance, funder requirements, data access requirements) require it
- d. Research undertaken by a student or member of staff who has not received appropriate training or has insufficient experience in research ethics and has been unable to access appropriate advice or support.

NOTES

1. If your research involves NHS patients, staff or premises then it will most likely fall under the remit of the NHS Research Ethics Committees; similarly, social care research involving adults, intergenerational social care studies involving adults and children or families and some proposals for social science studies situated in the NHS will fall under the remit of the Social Care Research Ethics Committee. For further guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-which-review-body-approvals-are-required/

- 2. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, research involving adults aged 16 or over with learning difficulties or who otherwise 'lack capacity' will be subject to approval by an NHS REC if that research is deemed to be 'intrusive'. For guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/research-legislation-and-governance/questions-and-answers-mental-capacity-act-2005/
- 3. As general guidance, research participants under the age of 18 may be vulnerable. Also, see Note 2 above re the Mental Capacity Act.
- 4. Deception can occur at a variety of levels: for example, at one level, experimental methods may depend on participants being deliberately misled as to the true nature or purpose of the research in which they are taking part; at another, covert participant observation may entail an implicit deception as to the true identity and role of the researcher. Deception may be a legitimate and necessary feature of social scientific research, but its use must always be properly justified.
- 5. Please refer to the School's guidance on handling the Data Protection aspects of research data: http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/LSEServices/legalAndCompliance/dataProtection/researchData.asp. Further information about the Data Protection Act 1998 can be found in Annex A of the research ethics policy

Annex B: Research Ethics Review

This form should be completed for every research project that involves human participants or the use of information relating to directly identifiable individuals.

P#	١R۲	' -	Сн	ECK	LIST

The Checklist is designed to identify the nature of any ethical issues raised by the research.

This checklist must be completed before potential participants are approached to take part in any research.

1.	Name	of	Researcher:	Maja	Kluger	Rasmussen
----	------	----	-------------	------	--------	-----------

Status (mark with an 'X' as	Undergraduate student		Masters student	
appropriate)	Research degree student		Staff	
Email	mkr@thinkeuropa.dk	Telephone number	+45 30 59 55 8	37
Department				

2. Student Details if applicable

Degree programme:		
Supervisor's name:	Supervisor's email:	
Supervisor's department:		

3. Title of the proposal and brief abstract

My research is part of the LSE's Horizon2020 project on political leadership in crisis management. My research is about the European Parliament's role during the EU's economic and financial crisis management and the accountability structures it has secured. Please contact Professor Martin Lodge, Department of Government at the LSE for more information about the overall project.

4. Funding Horizon 2020

Is it proposed that the research will be funded? If so by whom? Yes, European Commission, Horizon2020

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain			
5. R	5. Research that may need to be reviewed by an external Ethics Committee						
i	Will the study require Health Research Authority approval? (See Note 1)		Х				
ii	Does the study involve participants lacking capacity to give informed consent? (See Note 2)		х				
iii	Is there any other reason why the study may need to be reviewed by another external Ethics Committee?		Х				

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
	If you have answered Yes to any of the questions in section 5, go to Part I complete the rest of the Checklist)	I, C (ther	e is no	need to
6. C	consent			
i	Does the study involve participants who are potentially or in any way vulnerable or who may have any difficulty giving meaningful consent to their participation or the use of their information? (See Note 3)		X	
ii	Are participants to be enlisted in the study without their knowledge and consent? (e.g. via covert observation of people in public places)		X	
iii	Will the study require the co-operation of a gatekeeper for initial access to the groups or individuals to be recruited?		Х	
7. R	Research Design / Methodology	l		l
i	Does the research methodology involve the use of deception? (See Note 4)		Х	
ii	 Are there any significant concerns regarding the design of the research project? For example: where research intrudes into the private sphere or delves into some deeply personal experience; where the study is concerned with deviance or social control; where the study impinges on the vested interests of powerful persons or the exercise of coercion or domination; or where the research deals with things that are sacred to those being studied that they do not wish profaned. 		×	
iii	If the proposed research relates to the provision of social or human services is it feasible and/or appropriate that service users or service user representatives should be in some way involved in or consulted upon the development of the project?		X	
8. F	inancial Incentives	<u> </u>		<u>l</u>
i	Are there payments to researchers/participants that may have an impact on the objectivity of the research?		Х	
ii	Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation for time) be offered to participants?		Х	
9. R	tesearch Subjects			
i	Could the study induce unacceptable psychological stress or anxiety or cause harm or negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in normal life? Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?		Х	
ii	Will the study involve discussion of sensitive topics? For example (but not limited to): sexual activity, illegal behaviour, experience of violence or abuse, drug use, etc.). (Please refer to the Research Ethics Policy, § 13).		Х	
iii	Are drugs, placebos or other substances to be administered to the study participants or will the study involve invasive, intrusive or potentially harmful procedures of any kind?		Х	
10.	Confidentiality			
i	Will research involve the sharing of data or confidential information beyond the initial consent given?		Х	
ii	Will the research involve respondents to the internet, e.g. social media, or other visual/vocal methods		Х	
iii	Will the research involve administrative or secure data that requires permission from the appropriate authorities before use?		Х	

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
11.	Legal requirements			
	The Data Protection Act 1998 will apply to any data-processing activities entailed by this research. Is there any cause for uncertainty as to whether the research will fully comply with the requirements of the Act? (See Note 5)		x	
12.	Dissemination			
	Are there any particular groups who are likely to be harmed by dissemination of the results of this project?		Х	
13.	Risk to researchers			
	Do you have any doubts or concerns regarding your (or your colleagues) physical or psychological wellbeing during the research period?		Х	

PART II: SELF CERTIFICATION AND/OR NEXT STEPS

A If, after careful consideration, you have answered **No** to all the questions (whether you are a member of the academic staff or a student), you do not need to complete the questionnaire in Part III, unless you are subject to some external requirement that requires you to seek formal approval from the School's Research Ethics Committee. You should tick Box **A** in the **Self-Certification Section** below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

B If you have answered **Yes** or **Not certain** to any of the questions in sections 6-13 of the checklist you will need to consider more fully how you plan to deal with the ethical issues raised by your research. Answering the relevant questions in the Questionnaire in Part III below may assist you. Alternatively, your own department or institute may have alternative forms or procedures to assist you. If having done so you are wholly assured that adequate safeguards in relation to the ethical issues raised can and will be put in place, you may tick **Box B** in the Self-certification Section below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

C If you have answered Yes in section 5 that your research will be subject to an external ethics committee, please tick **Box C** below and send the completed Checklist (questions 1-5) to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>. You should submit your research for ethics approval to the appropriate body. Once approval is granted please send a copy of the letter of approval to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>.

Students who self-certify their research proposals should do so in consultation with their supervisors.

If you are unable to self-certify your proposed research you should in any event complete the questionnaire in Part III below and complete the **Refer to Research Ethics Committee Section** at the end of the form.

SELF-CERTIFICATION

Select A, B or C (delete as appropriate):

I have read and understood the LSE Research Ethics Policy and the questions contained in the Checklist above and confirm:

A that no significant ethical issues are raised by the research, or

Please sign the relevant section below

Aca	ademic Research Staff						
Summary of any ethical issues identified and safeguards to be taken (expand box as necessary):							
I he	ereby confirm that I have u	undertaken traini	ng and/or have	e had significa	ant experier	nce in research ethic	cs in the
cou	irse of my career and/or h						
pro	posed research:	T					
		mais	+ Dasa.				
Res	searcher signature:		t. Rasm	woer	Date:	7 May 2015	
Uı	ndergraduate/Taught Po	ostgraduate Stu	dent/PhD Stu	dent			
Sı	ummary of any ethical iss	ues identified an	d safeguards to	o be taken (e	xpand box	as necessary):	
l h	nereby confirm that I have	undertaken trai	ning in researc	h othics in the	a course of	my studies and/or th	hat I have
	onsulted and been advise						
re	search.						
St	udent's signature:				Date:		
Sı	upervisor's signature:				Date:		
	signing here the supervi	sor confirms that	t the student h	e haan advis	_ 5.001	on to any ethical iss	ues raised
	her/his research; these h						
re	search design; and the st						
re	search.						
Do	rt III - Questionn	NIDE					
Га	III III - QUESTIONN	AIRE					
The	e questionnaire enables y	ou to explain ho	w the ethical is	sues relating	to your res	earch will be addres	sed If you
	intending to submit your						
						•	
	Research aims						
	e research method relies						th decision-
ma	kers (officials and politicia	ans) in the EU-in	stitutions. The	interviews wii	ı be anonyı	mous.	
2.	Informed consent						
i	Will potential participant					they be asked to cor	nfirm that
	they have received and						
	Please attach a draft inf		ina/or consent	torm it this na	as been pre	eparea	
	No, the information is no						
ii	How has the study beer including potential partic					those likely to be in	volved,
	I will write them an ema					up interview meeting	 gs &
	interviewees will remain) ij		,	• ·
iii	Has information (written	and oral) about					
1	potential participants? A						

	research ethics policy for links to guidance on informed consent). Not yet, but I will do once I contact my interviewees
iv	How will potential participants be informed of whether there will be adverse consequences of a decision not to participate? Or of a decision to withdraw during the course of the study?
	If they do not want to participate, they will not be included in the research.
V	What provision has been made to respond to queries and problems raised by participants during the course of the study?
	I will respond to it if it arises, my research is uncontroversial
3. I	Research design and methodology
i	Where relevant, how does the research methodology justify the use of deception?
	Not relevant for my research
ii	If the proposed research involves the deception of persons in vulnerable groups, can the information sought be obtained by other means?
	Not relevant for my research
iii	How will data be collected and analysed during the project?
	Process-tracing of policy documents and anonymous interviews
iv	How have the ethical and legal dimensions of the process of collecting, analyzing and storing the data been addressed?
	I only rely on publicly available material
V	What concerns have been taken into account with regard to the preparation and design of the research project? If agencies, communities or individuals are to be directly affected by the research (e.g. participants, service users, vulnerable communities or relations), what means have you devised to ensure that any harm or distress is minimized and/or that the research is sensitive to the particular needs and perspectives of those so affected?
	N/A to my study
vi	Have you been able to devise a timetable of research?
	Yes
4. I	Ethical questions arising from the provision of incentives
i	Are any incentives being offered to participants?
	No
5. I	Research participants
i	Who do you identify as the participants in the project? Are other people who are not participants likely to be directly impacted by the project?
	EU bureaucrats
ii	What are the specific risks to research participants or third parties?
	None
iii	If the research involves pain, stress, physical or emotional risk, please detail the steps taken to minimize such effects.
6. (Confidentiality
i	What arrangements have been made to preserve confidentiality for the participants or those potentially

	affected, and compliance with data protection law?
	Anonymous interviews
7. Dis	ssemination
İ	Will the results of the study be offered to those participants or other affected parties who wish to receive them? If so, what steps have been taken to minimize any discomfort or misrepresentation that may result at the dissemination stage.
	Yes, I will send the interviewees my academic papers and articles on the topic

8. Risk to researchers

i Are there any risks to researchers? If so, please provide details.

No

REFER TO RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE

Approval is required by the Research Ethics Committee on one or more of the following grounds (please mark with an 'X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column):

Significant ethical issues are raised by the research, including research characterised by one or more of the following features: (i) Research involving deception of participants, or which is conducted without their full and informed consent at the time the study is carried out or when the data is gathered, or which involves the use of confidential information. (ii) Research involving more than minimal risk of harm to participants, such as: research involving vulnerable groups research involving personally intrusive or ethically sensitive topics research involving groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial access to members research which would induce unacceptable psychological stress, anxiety or humiliation or cause more than minimal pain b. The researcher wants to seek the advice of the Research Ethics Committee C. External obligations (for instance, funder requirements, data access requirements) require it Research undertaken by a student or member of staff who has not received appropriate training or d. has insufficient experience in research ethics and has been unable to access appropriate advice or support.

NOTES

- 1. If your research involves NHS patients, staff or premises then it will most likely fall under the remit of the NHS Research Ethics Committees; similarly, social care research involving adults, intergenerational social care studies involving adults and children or families and some proposals for social science studies situated in the NHS will fall under the remit of the Social Care Research Ethics Committee. For further guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-which-review-body-approvals-are-required/
- 2. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, research involving adults aged 16 or over with learning difficulties or who otherwise 'lack capacity' will be subject to approval by an NHS REC if that research is deemed to be 'intrusive'. For guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/research-legislation-and-governance/questions-and-answers-mental-capacity-act-2005/

- 3. As general guidance, research participants under the age of 18 may be vulnerable. Also, see Note 2 above re the Mental Capacity Act.
- 4. Deception can occur at a variety of levels: for example, at one level, experimental methods may depend on participants being deliberately misled as to the true nature or purpose of the research in which they are taking part; at another, covert participant observation may entail an implicit deception as to the true identity and role of the researcher. Deception may be a legitimate and necessary feature of social scientific research, but its use must always be properly justified.
- 5. Please refer to the School's guidance on handling the Data Protection aspects of research data: http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/LSEServices/legalAndCompliance/dataProtection/researchData.asp. Further information about the Data Protection Act 1998 can be found in Annex A of the research ethics policy

Annex B: Research Ethics Review

This form should be completed for every research project that involves human participants or the use of information relating to directly identifiable individuals.

PA	RT I - CHECKLIST				接。旅館意	
The	Checklist is designed to ide	ntify the nature of any ethical is	sues raised by the re	esearch.		
This	s checklist must be complete	d before potential participants a	are approached to tal	ke part in an	y research.	
1. N	lame of Researcher:	W				
	Status (mark with an 'X'	Undergraduate student		Masters student		
	as appropriate)	Research degree student		Staff	X	
	Email	Mark.rhinard@ekohist.su.se	Telephone number	+46 76 208	3 1395	
	Department	Economic History, Stockholm	University			
2. 8	tudent Details if applicable	•				
	Degree programme:		I			
	Supervisor's name:		Supervisor's email:			
	Supervisor's department:					
	itle of the proposal and bri					
- 0	0-200 words – your abstract in the stract in	should outline in non-technical	language the purpos	se of the res	earch and the	
Eur dist resp inte	To advance our understanding of the capacities and limits affecting crisis management and leadership in the European Union and to develop high-impact policy recommendations, this project focuses on a number of distinct components, ranging from (i) the role of European political leaders, their capacities and their responsiveness to public opinion, (ii) the crisis management capacities of EU institutions, and (iii) the interaction between the EU and national political-administrative systems in managing crises. Data collection methods will be mainly from secondary sources (government documents, academic literature) and some primary sources (personal interviews).					
4.	Funding					
Is it	proposed that the research	will be funded? If so by whom	? EU Horizon 20)20		

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
5. I	Research that may need to be reviewed by an external Ethics Committee			
i	Will the study require Health Research Authority approval? (See Note 1)		Х	
ii	Does the study involve participants lacking capacity to give informed consent? (See Note 2)		Х	

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
iii	Is there any other reason why the study may need to be reviewed by another external Ethics Committee?		Х	
	If you have answered Yes to any of the questions in section 5, go to Part I complete the rest of the Checklist)	I, C (ther	e is no	need to
6. C	consent			
i	Does the study involve participants who are potentially or in any way vulnerable or who may have any difficulty giving meaningful consent to their participation or the use of their information? (See Note 3)		х	
ii	Are participants to be enlisted in the study without their knowledge and consent? (e.g. via covert observation of people in public places)		Х	
iii	Will the study require the co-operation of a gatekeeper for initial access to the groups or individuals to be recruited?		х	
7. R	lesearch Design / Methodology			
i	Does the research methodology involve the use of deception? (See Note 4)		Х	
ii	 Are there any significant concerns regarding the design of the research project? For example: where research intrudes into the private sphere or delves into some deeply personal experience; where the study is concerned with deviance or social control; where the study impinges on the vested interests of powerful persons or the exercise of coercion or domination; or where the research deals with things that are sacred to those being studied that they do not wish profaned. 		х	
iii	If the proposed research relates to the provision of social or human services is it feasible and/or appropriate that service users or service user representatives should be in some way involved in or consulted upon the development of the project?		Х	
8. F	inancial Incentives	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		
i	Are there payments to researchers/participants that may have an impact on the objectivity of the research?		х	
ii	Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation for time) be offered to participants?		Х	
9. R	Research Subjects			
i	Could the study induce unacceptable psychological stress or anxiety or cause harm or negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in normal life? Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?	200	х	
ii	Will the study involve discussion of sensitive topics? For example (but not limited to): sexual activity, illegal behaviour, experience of violence or abuse, drug use, etc.). (Please refer to the Research Ethics Policy, § 13).		х	
iii	Are drugs, placebos or other substances to be administered to the study participants or will the study involve invasive, intrusive or potentially harmful procedures of any kind?		х	
10.	Confidentiality			
i	Will research involve the sharing of data or confidential information beyond the initial consent given?		Х	ï
ii	Will the research involve respondents to the internet, e.g. social media, or other visual/vocal methods		Х	

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
iii	Will the research involve administrative or secure data that requires permission from the appropriate authorities before use?		Х	
11.	Legal requirements			
	The Data Protection Act 1998 will apply to any data-processing activities entailed by this research. Is there any cause for uncertainty as to whether the research will fully comply with the requirements of the Act? (See Note 5)		x	
12.	Dissemination			
11/1	Are there any particular groups who are likely to be harmed by dissemination of the results of this project?		X	
13.	Risk to researchers			
	Do you have any doubts or concerns regarding your (or your colleagues) physical or psychological wellbeing during the research period?		Х	

PART II: SELF CERTIFICATION AND/OR NEXT STEPS

- A If, after careful consideration, you have answered **No** to all the questions (whether you are a member of the academic staff or a student), you do not need to complete the questionnaire in Part III, unless you are subject to some external requirement that requires you to seek formal approval from the School's Research Ethics Committee. You should tick Box **A** in the **Self-Certification Section** below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.
- **B** If you have answered **Yes** or **Not certain** to any of the questions in sections 6-13 of the checklist you will need to consider more fully how you plan to deal with the ethical issues raised by your research. Answering the relevant questions in the Questionnaire in Part III below may assist you. Alternatively, your own department or institute may have alternative forms or procedures to assist you. If having done so you are wholly assured that adequate safeguards in relation to the ethical issues raised can and will be put in place, you may tick **Box B** in the Self-certification Section below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.
- C If you have answered Yes in section 5 that your research will be subject to an external ethics committee, please tick **Box C** below and send the completed Checklist (questions 1-5) to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>. You should submit your research for ethics approval to the appropriate body. Once approval is granted please send a copy of the letter of approval to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>.

Students who self-certify their research proposals should do so in consultation with their supervisors.

If you are unable to self-certify your proposed research you should in any event complete the questionnaire in Part III below and complete the **Refer to Research Ethics Committee Section** at the end of the form.

SELF-CERTIFICATION

Select A, B or C (delete as appropriate):

I have read and understood the LSE Research Ethics Policy and the questions contained in the Checklist above and confirm:

A that no significant ethical issues are raised by the research, or

B that adequate safeguards in relation to such issues can and will be put in place, or

C that the research will be subject to an extern	nal ethics review	
Please sign the relevant section below		
Academic Research Staff		
Summary of any ethical issues identified and sa	feguards to be taken (expand box as necessa	ary):
1	im er gen	
hereby confirm that I have undertaken training course of my career and/or have sought and obproposed research:	and/or have had significant experience in resetained expert advice in connection with the eth	earch ethics in the nical aspects of the
Researcher signature:	Date: 06.0.	5. 2015
Undergraduate/Taught Postgraduate Stude	nt/PhD Student	
Summary of any ethical issues identified and s	safeguards to be taken (expand box as necess	sary):
I hereby confirm that I have undertaken training consulted and been advised by my supervisor research.	g in research ethics in the course of my studie or other expert with regard the ethical implica	es and/or that I have tions of my proposed
Student's signature:	Date:	2
Supervisor's signature:	Date:	
	ne student has been advised in relation to any	ethical issues raised
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been materials.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate	ely addressed in the
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of tresearch design; and the student has been materials.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate	ely addressed in the
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been material research.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate	ely addressed in the
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been material research. Part III - QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire enables you to explain how the signing of the student has been material.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her ely addressed in the nical conduct of her/h	
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been materially research. Part III - QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire enables you to explain how the are intending to submit your proposal to the Research aims	the supervisor's understanding been adequate ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of her his responsibilities for the ether aware of her aware of her his responsibilities for her aware of her his responsibilities for her aware of her aware of her his responsibilities for her aware of her his responsibilities for his responsibiliti	ely addressed in the nical conduct of her/h be addressed. If you leted in full.
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been may research. Part III - QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire enables you to explain how the are intending to submit your proposal to the Research aims Please provide brief (no more than 500 words) to accurate the Committee with the principal feature.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade at his sues relating to your research will search Ethics Committee it needs to be completed to be completed at the search will be used. This summary should contain a tures of the proposal. A copy of the full proposal.	be addressed in the be addressed. If you eted in full.
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been material research. Part III - QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire enables you to explain how the are intending to submit your proposal to the Research.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade at his sues relating to your research will search Ethics Committee it needs to be completed to be completed at the search will be used. This summary should contain a tures of the proposal. A copy of the full proposal.	be addressed in the be addressed. If you eted in full.
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been made research. Part III - QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire enables you to explain how the are intending to submit your proposal to the Research aims Please provide brief (no more than 500 words) to background of the research and the methods the to acquaint the Committee with the principal feat monetheless be attached to this document in calculation. Informed consent Will potential participants be asked to give they have received and read the information.	the supervisor's understanding been adequate ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of the research will be a search Ethics Committee it needs to be completed to the summary should contain a stures of the proposal. A copy of the full proposal is required for further information.	be addressed in the hical conduct of her/hical conduct of her/hical be addressed. If you leted in full. The aims, the scientificant information sal should
By signing here the supervisor confirms that the by her/his research; these have to the best of the research design; and the student has been made research. Part III - QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire enables you to explain how the are intending to submit your proposal to the Research aims Please provide brief (no more than 500 words) to background of the research and the methods the to acquaint the Committee with the principal feat monetheless be attached to this document in calculation. Informed consent Will potential participants be asked to give	the supervisor's understanding been adequate ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether ade aware of her/his responsibilities for the ether aware of the research will be a search Ethics Committee it needs to be completed to the summary should contain a stures of the proposal. A copy of the full proposal is required for further information.	be addressed in the hical conduct of her/hical be addressed. If you leted in full.

iii	Has information (written and oral) about the study been prepared in an appropriate form and language for potential participants? At what point in the study will this information be offered? (see Annex A of the research ethics policy for links to guidance on informed consent).
iv	How will potential participants be informed of whether there will be adverse consequences of a decision not to participate? Or of a decision to withdraw during the course of the study?
V	What provision has been made to respond to queries and problems raised by participants during the course of the study?
3. F	Research design and methodology
i	Where relevant, how does the research methodology justify the use of deception?
ii	If the proposed research involves the deception of persons in vulnerable groups, can the information sought be obtained by other means?
iii	How will data be collected and analysed during the project?
iv	How have the ethical and legal dimensions of the process of collecting, analyzing and storing the data been addressed?
٧	What concerns have been taken into account with regard to the preparation and design of the research project? If agencies, communities or individuals are to be directly affected by the research (e.g. participants, service users, vulnerable communities or relations), what means have you devised to ensure that any harm or distress is minimized and/or that the research is sensitive to the particular needs and perspectives of those so affected?
vi	Have you been able to devise a timetable of research?
4. [Ethical questions arising from the provision of incentives
i	Are any incentives being offered to participants?
5. 1	Research participants
i	Who do you identify as the participants in the project? Are other people who are not participants likely to be directly impacted by the project?
ii	What are the specific risks to research participants or third parties?
iii	If the research involves pain, stress, physical or emotional risk, please detail the steps taken to minimize such effects.

6. C	onfidentiality	11.0
i	What arrangements have been made to preserve confidentiality for the participants or those potentially affected, and compliance with data protection law?	
7. D	Dissemination	
İ	Will the results of the study be offered to those participants or other affected parties who wish to receive them? If so, what steps have been taken to minimize any discomfort or misrepresentation that may result the dissemination stage.	t at
8. R	lisk to researchers	
i	Are there any risks to researchers? If so, please provide details.	

REFER TO RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE Approval is required by the Research Ethics Committee on one or more of the following grounds (please mark with an 'X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column): Significant ethical issues are raised by the research, including research characterised by one or more of the following features: (i) Research involving deception of participants, or which is conducted without their full and informed consent at the time the study is carried out or when the data is gathered, or which involves the use of confidential information. (ii) Research involving more than minimal risk of harm to participants, such as: o research involving vulnerable groups o research involving personally intrusive or ethically sensitive topics o research involving groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial access to members o research which would induce unacceptable psychological stress, anxiety or humiliation or cause more than minimal pain The researcher wants to seek the advice of the Research Ethics Committee b. C. External obligations (for instance, funder requirements, data access requirements) require it Research undertaken by a student or member of staff who has not received appropriate training or d. has insufficient experience in research ethics and has been unable to access appropriate advice or support.

NOTES

- 1. If your research involves NHS patients, staff or premises then it will most likely fall under the remit of the NHS Research Ethics Committees; similarly, social care research involving adults, intergenerational social care studies involving adults and children or families and some proposals for social science studies situated in the NHS will fall under the remit of the Social Care Research Ethics Committee. For further guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-which-review-body-approvals-are-required/
- 2. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, research involving adults aged 16 or over with learning difficulties or who otherwise 'lack capacity' will be subject to approval by an NHS REC if that research is deemed to be

'intrusive'. For guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/research-legislation-and-governance/questions-and-answers-mental-capacity-act-2005/

- 3. As general guidance, research participants under the age of 18 may be vulnerable. Also, see Note 2 above re the Mental Capacity Act.
- 4. Deception can occur at a variety of levels: for example, at one level, experimental methods may depend on participants being deliberately misled as to the true nature or purpose of the research in which they are taking part; at another, covert participant observation may entail an implicit deception as to the true identity and role of the researcher. Deception may be a legitimate and necessary feature of social scientific research, but its use must always be properly justified.
- 5. Please refer to the School's guidance on handling the Data Protection aspects of research data: http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/LSEServices/legalAndCompliance/dataProtection/researchData.asp. Further information about the Data Protection Act 1998 can be found in Annex A of the research ethics policy

Annex B: Research Ethics Review

This form should be completed for every research project that involves human participants or the use of information relating to directly identifiable individuals.

PART I - CHECKLIST							
The Checklist is designed to identify the nature of any ethical issues raised by the research. This checklist must be completed before potential participants are approached to take part in any research.							
1. N	ame of Researcher: Fulvio	ATTINA'					
	Status (mark with an 'X' as	Undergraduate student		Masters student			
	appropriate)	Research degree student		Staff	X (Transcrisis)		
	Email	attinaf@unict.it	Telephone number	+39 338649	5435		
	Department						
2. S	tudent Details if applicable						
	Degree programme:						
	Supervisor's name:		Supervisor's email:				
	Supervisor's department:			•			
3. T	itle of the proposal and brie	f abstract					
•	(150-200 words – your abstract should outline in non-technical language the purpose of the research and the methods that will be used.)						
Transcrisis (H2020)							
4. F	4. Funding						
Is it	Is it proposed that the research will be funded? If so by whom?						

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
5. F	Research that <i>may</i> need to be reviewed by an external Ethics Committee			
i	Will the study require Health Research Authority approval? (See Note 1)		х	
ii	Does the study involve participants lacking capacity to give informed consent? (See Note 2)		х	
iii	Is there any other reason why the study may need to be reviewed by another external Ethics Committee?		х	
	If you have answered Yes to any of the questions in section 5, go to Part I complete the rest of the Checklist)	I, C (th∈	ere is no	need to

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
6. C	Consent			
i	Does the study involve participants who are potentially or in any way vulnerable or who may have any difficulty giving meaningful consent to their participation or the use of their information? (See Note 3)		x	
İ	Are participants to be enlisted in the study without their knowledge and consent? (e.g. via covert observation of people in public places)		х	
ii	Will the study require the co-operation of a gatekeeper for initial access to the groups or individuals to be recruited?		х	
7. F	Research Design / Methodology			
	Does the research methodology involve the use of deception? (See Note 4)		х	
İ	 Are there any significant concerns regarding the design of the research project? For example: where research intrudes into the private sphere or delves into some deeply personal experience; where the study is concerned with deviance or social control; where the study impinges on the vested interests of powerful persons or the exercise of coercion or domination; or where the research deals with things that are sacred to those being studied that they do not wish profaned. 		x	
ii 	If the proposed research relates to the provision of social or human services is it feasible and/or appropriate that service users or service user representatives should be in some way involved in or consulted upon the development of the project?		х	
8. F	inancial Incentives			
	Are there payments to researchers/participants that may have an impact on the objectivity of the research?		х	
i	Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation for time) be offered to participants?		х	
). F	Research Subjects			
	Could the study induce unacceptable psychological stress or anxiety or cause harm or negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in normal life? Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?		x	
i	Will the study involve discussion of sensitive topics? For example (but not limited to): sexual activity, illegal behaviour, experience of violence or abuse, drug use, etc.). (Please refer to the Research Ethics Policy, § 13).		x	
ii	Are drugs, placebos or other substances to be administered to the study participants or will the study involve invasive, intrusive or potentially harmful procedures of any kind?		x	
10.	Confidentiality		·	
	Will research involve the sharing of data or confidential information beyond the initial consent given?		х	
i	Will the research involve respondents to the internet, e.g. social media, or other visual/vocal methods		х	
iii	Will the research involve administrative or secure data that requires permission from the appropriate authorities before use?		х	

	Please mark an X in the appropriate right-hand column/box	Yes	No	Not certain
11.	Legal requirements			
	The Data Protection Act 1998 will apply to any data-processing activities entailed by this research. Is there any cause for uncertainty as to whether the research will fully comply with the requirements of the Act? (See Note 5)		x	
12.	Dissemination			
	Are there any particular groups who are likely to be harmed by dissemination of the results of this project?		х	
13.	Risk to researchers			
	Do you have any doubts or concerns regarding your (or your colleagues) physical or psychological wellbeing during the research period?		х	

PART II: SELF CERTIFICATION AND/OR NEXT STEPS

A If, after careful consideration, you have answered **No** to all the questions (whether you are a member of the academic staff or a student), you do not need to complete the questionnaire in Part III, unless you are subject to some external requirement that requires you to seek formal approval from the School's Research Ethics Committee. You should tick Box **A** in the **Self-Certification Section** below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

B If you have answered **Yes** or **Not certain** to any of the questions in sections 6-13 of the checklist you will need to consider more fully how you plan to deal with the ethical issues raised by your research. Answering the relevant questions in the Questionnaire in Part III below may assist you. Alternatively, your own department or institute may have alternative forms or procedures to assist you. If having done so you are wholly assured that adequate safeguards in relation to the ethical issues raised can and will be put in place, you may tick **Box B** in the Self-certification Section below, sign as appropriate and submit the form to your Head of Department, Research Centre Director, or their administrations as appropriate. Occasional audits of such forms may be undertaken by the School.

C If you have answered Yes in section 5 that your research will be subject to an external ethics committee, please tick **Box C** below and send the completed Checklist (questions 1-5) to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>. You should submit your research for ethics approval to the appropriate body. Once approval is granted please send a copy of the letter of approval to <u>research.ethics@lse.ac.uk</u>.

Students who self-certify their research proposals should do so in consultation with their supervisors.

If you are unable to self-certify your proposed research you should in any event complete the questionnaire in Part III below and complete the **Refer to Research Ethics Committee Section** at the end of the form.

SELF-CERTIFICATION

Select A, B or C (delete as appropriate):

I have read and understood the LSE Research Ethics Policy and the questions contained in the Checklist above and confirm:

- **A** that no significant ethical issues are raised by the research, or
- **B** that adequate safeguards in relation to such issues can and will be put in place, or
- **C** that the research will be subject to an external ethics review

Please sign the relevant section below						
Academic Research Staff						
Summary of any ethical issues identified and safeguards to be taken (expand box as necessary):						
I hereby confirm that I have u	ındertaken traiı	ning and/or have ha	ad significant	experience	e in research ethics in the	
course of my career and/or h proposed research:	ave sought and	d obtained expert a	dvice in conr	nection with	the ethical aspects of the	
Researcher signature:	Theles	so attesta	D	ate: 30	0 April 2015	
Undergraduate/Taught Po	estgraduate St	udent/PhD Studer	nt			
Summary of any ethical issu	ues identified a	nd safeguards to be	e taken (expa	and box as	necessary):	
I hereby confirm that I have consulted and been advised research.					y studies and/or that I have implications of my proposed	
Student's signature:				Date:		
Supervisor's signature:				Date:		
by her/his research; these h	nave to the bes	t of the supervisor's	understandi	ing been ac	to any ethical issues raised dequately addressed in the the ethical conduct of her/his	
Part III - QUESTIONNA	AIRE					
The questionnaire enables your are intending to submit your			_	-	-	
1. Research aims						
Please provide brief (no more background of the research a to acquaint the Committee w nonetheless be attached to the	and the method ith the principa	ls that will be used. I features of the pro	This summa posal. A cop	ary should only of the full		
2. Informed consent						
i Will potential participant they have received and Please attach a draft info	read the inform	nation about the stu	dy? If not, wl	hy not?	y be asked to confirm that	
ii How has the study been including potential partic					ose likely to be involved,	

iii	Has information (written and oral) about the study been prepared in an appropriate form and language for potential participants? At what point in the study will this information be offered? (see Annex A of the research ethics policy for links to guidance on informed consent).
iv	How will potential participants be informed of whether there will be adverse consequences of a decision not to participate? Or of a decision to withdraw during the course of the study?
٧	What provision has been made to respond to queries and problems raised by participants during the course of the study?
3. I	Research design and methodology
i	Where relevant, how does the research methodology justify the use of deception?
ii	If the proposed research involves the deception of persons in vulnerable groups, can the information sought be obtained by other means?
iii	How will data be collected and analysed during the project?
iv	How have the ethical and legal dimensions of the process of collecting, analyzing and storing the data been addressed?
V	What concerns have been taken into account with regard to the preparation and design of the research project? If agencies, communities or individuals are to be directly affected by the research (e.g. participants, service users, vulnerable communities or relations), what means have you devised to ensure that any harm or distress is minimized and/or that the research is sensitive to the particular needs and perspectives of those so affected?
vi	Have you been able to devise a timetable of research?
4. I	Ethical questions arising from the provision of incentives
i	Are any incentives being offered to participants?
5. I	Research participants
i	Who do you identify as the participants in the project? Are other people who are not participants likely to be directly impacted by the project?
ii	What are the specific risks to research participants or third parties?
iii	If the research involves pain, stress, physical or emotional risk, please detail the steps taken to minimize such effects.
6. (Confidentiality

i	What arrangements have been made to preserve confidentiality for the participants or those potentially affected, and compliance with data protection law?
7. Di	ssemination
i	Will the results of the study be offered to those participants or other affected parties who wish to receive them? If so, what steps have been taken to minimize any discomfort or misrepresentation that may result at the dissemination stage.
8. Ri	sk to researchers
i	Are there any risks to researchers? If so, please provide details.

REFER TO RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE

Approval is required by the Research Ethics Committee on one or more of the following grounds (please mark with an 'X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column):

an '>	an 'X' in the appropriate place in the right-hand column):		
a.	Significant ethical issues are raised by the research, including research characterised by one or more		
a.	of the following features:		
	(i) Research involving deception of participants, or which is conducted without their full and informed		
	consent at the time the study is carried out or when the data is gathered, or which involves the use of		
	confidential information.		
	(ii) Research involving more than minimal risk of harm to participants, such as:		
	o research involving vulnerable groups		
	research involving personally intrusive or ethically sensitive topics		
	o research involving groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial		
	access to members		
	o research which would induce unacceptable psychological stress, anxiety or humiliation or		
	cause more than minimal pain		
b.	The researcher wants to seek the advice of the Research Ethics Committee		
C.	External obligations (for instance, funder requirements, data access requirements) require it		
d.	Research undertaken by a student or member of staff who has not received appropriate training or has insufficient experience in research ethics and has been unable to access appropriate advice or support.		

NOTES

- 1. If your research involves NHS patients, staff or premises then it will most likely fall under the remit of the NHS Research Ethics Committees; similarly, social care research involving adults, intergenerational social care studies involving adults and children or families and some proposals for social science studies situated in the NHS will fall under the remit of the Social Care Research Ethics Committee. For further guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-which-review-body-approvals-are-required/
- 2. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, research involving adults aged 16 or over with learning difficulties or who otherwise 'lack capacity' will be subject to approval by an NHS REC if that research is deemed to be 'intrusive'. For guidance see: http://www.hra.nhs.uk/resources/research-legislation-and-governance/questions-and-answers-mental-capacity-act-2005/

- 3. As general guidance, research participants under the age of 18 may be vulnerable. Also, see Note 2 above re the Mental Capacity Act.
- 4. Deception can occur at a variety of levels: for example, at one level, experimental methods may depend on participants being deliberately misled as to the true nature or purpose of the research in which they are taking part; at another, covert participant observation may entail an implicit deception as to the true identity and role of the researcher. Deception may be a legitimate and necessary feature of social scientific research, but its use must always be properly justified.
- 5. Please refer to the School's guidance on handling the Data Protection aspects of research data: http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/LSEServices/legalAndCompliance/dataProtection/researchData.asp. Further information about the Data Protection Act 1998 can be found in Annex A of the research ethics policy