#### Overcoming the Barriers to Longer Tenancies in the Private Rented Sector

LSE London response



## Questions

You do not need to answer all the questions provided; please only respond to questions that are relevant to you.

About You	
Q1: Are you responding (please tick one)	
☐ On behalf of an organisation? (Please give organisation's name below)	
LSE London, London School of Economics	
Q2: If you are an individual, in which capacity are you completing these quest (please tick one)	itions?
□ A tenant	
□ A landlord	
Other (please specify)	_
	_
Q3: If you are an organisation, which of the following best describes you? Pl	<u>ease</u>
<u>leave blank if you are answering as an individual.</u>	
□ Property agent	
□ Local Authority             □	
□ Charity dealing with housing issues	
□ Other (please specify)	
An academic and policy research group working on housing and urban issues based at the London School of Economics and Political Science.	
O and the section of the Taylor of the	
Questions for Tenants:	
Q4: Did you know that you could have a tenancy of greater than 6 or 12 mont	<del>hs?</del>
□ Yes	
<del>□ No</del>	
Q5: Have you been offered a tenancy of longer than 12 months?  — Yes	
<del>□ Yes</del> <del>□ No</del>	
□ 11 <b>0</b>	

<del>□ No</del>		
	an dianda.	
Questions for La	<del>andlords:</del>	
)7: Have vou ev	ver offered a tenancy of longer than 12 months? Explain reasor	ns
<del>□ Yes</del>		
<del>□ No</del>		
	most encourage you to offer a longer tenancy? (Pick One)	
☐ I do not wa	ant to offer longer tenancies	
<ul> <li>☐ I do not wa</li> <li>☐ Happy to c</li> </ul>	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one	
<ul><li>☐ I do not wa</li><li>☐ Happy to c</li><li>☐ My mortga</li></ul>	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it	
<ul> <li>☐ I do not wa</li> <li>☐ Happy to c</li> <li>☐ My mortga</li> <li>☐ More efficient</li> </ul>	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one uge provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee	<del>ede</del> (
<ul><li>☐ I do not wa</li><li>☐ Happy to c</li><li>☐ My mortga</li></ul>	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one uge provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee	<del>ede</del> (
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one uge provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee	<del>ede</del> (
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one age provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent	ede(
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial in	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one age provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent	<del>ede</del> (
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial in	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent ncentive	<del>ede</del>
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial in	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent ncentive	<del>ede</del>
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial in	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent ncentive	<del>ede</del>
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial in	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent ncentive	<del>ede</del> (
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial in	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent ncentive	<del>ede</del>
☐ I do not wa ☐ Happy to c ☐ My mortga ☐ More effici ☐ Longer not ☐ No restricti ☐ Financial ii ☐ Other [Plea	ant to offer longer tenancies offer them if a tenant wants one tige provider conditions allowing it ent processes to remove a bad tenant or recover my property if nee tice periods ions around rent ncentive	

	tions for all  Do you think that the protection for tenants from retaliatory eviction	
	duced in the Deregulation Act 2015 has been successful? Please explain	
	- Yes	
	No	
-	Not aware of what these protections are	
1	aliatory eviction typically occur at the lower end of the market where tenants as	
	as being low income face issues with language, education and are otherwise sidered vulnerable. Whilst the 2015 Act went some way in trying to redress the	
	nce it has not removed tenants' fear of eviction and thus their preparedness to	
	plain about rents, quality and other problems where appropriate still remains	
	ed, In particular it has not gone far enough at the lower end of the market where	
the p	problem is most acute.	
	<ul> <li>What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy <u>for ords</u>? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 benost important)</li> <li>Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)</li> </ul>	eing
the m	<u>ords</u> ? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)	eing
the m	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)	eing
the m	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 benost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)	eing
the m	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)	eing
The ten	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.	eing
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.	
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.  Description: What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy for intentation in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of the importance of the importance of the importance of the importance in the importance of the impo	
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.  b: What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy for its? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 being nost important)	
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.  Description: What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy for intentation in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of that factor with 10 being tenance in the importance of the importance of the importance of the importance of the importance in the importance of the impo	ng
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.  E: What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy for its? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 being nost important)  Greater security for tenants (8)  Tenants saving money as they do not have to sign new tenancies or renew so frequently (5)	ng
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.  De: What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy for ints? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 being nost important)  Greater security for tenants (8)  Tenants saving money as they do not have to sign new tenancies or renew so frequently (5)  Tenants MAY* have greater assurance they can afford any rent increase (5)	ng
The ten tran	ords? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 be nost important)  Less risk of void periods for landlords (8)  Tenants more likely to take care of property (2)  Landlords save on costs of finding new tenants (8)  Other (8)  e question is broader than savings on loss of rent and the costs of finding a new ant (and the uncertainties associated with new tenants) but covers a wide range of insactions costs including additional fees, maintenance and equipment.  E: What do you consider to be the main benefits of a longer tenancy for its? (Assign a score out of 10 for the importance of that factor with 10 being nost important)  Greater security for tenants (8)  Tenants saving money as they do not have to sign new tenancies or renew so frequently (5)	ng

Other: Tenants more likely to be able to integrate with their local community and build networks of support.

MAY\* this depends on what it in the regulatory framework – the rent increases within the contract period are not necessarily certain under all possibilities

A core issue here is that tenants are not homogeneous – many are looking for shorter tenancies – e.g. students but also many others do not want a longer term – so all depends on whether there are costs to the tenant and indeed the landlord from setting a longer but specified term. There appears to be no evidence as to whether changing the rules like this would change rents.

## Q12: Do you consider that there are any further benefits of longer tenancies that are not covered in question 11? Please explain.

Yes, see above.

Most importantly changing the length of tenancy will change the overall culture so that even those who do not particularly favour extension may benefit from a more stable sector. But (i) need to look at costs – partly covered by q13 below and (ii) also to compare to indefinite tenancies.

## Q13: What do you consider to be the main barriers to landlords offering longer term tenancies?

Tenants do not want them
Landlords do not want to offer them
Landlords concerned about void periods
Time taken to gain possession of property
Agents' advice
Landlords want to retain ability to increase ren
Mortgage conditions
Other [please list]

The suggested answers do not really capture the more nuanced views of landlords and in particular the differences between larger landlords (who can deal more effectively with risk and the smaller landlord who if they get a bad tenant will be faced with a much larger problem.

Equally it isn't the time taken to gain possession as such but what might happen over the whole period of problems – starting from rent arrears followed by not paying rent during the eviction process and damage to the property at its worst.

However it is almost certainly the lack of a high quality enforcement procedure that presents the greatest barrier.

Mortgage conditions have been a problem and still are for large numbers of those with older mortgages.

The question re rent increases depends on exactly what the terms and conditions of a longer lease are – can't answer it in general.

#### A New Framework

Our suggested longer term tenancy model is a three year tenancy with a six month break clause. The main components would be:

- a. A three year tenancy but with an opportunity for landlord and tenant to leave the agreement after the initial six months if dissatisfied. If both landlord and tenant are happy, the tenancy would continue for a further two and a half years.
- b. Following the six month break clause, the tenant would be able to leave the tenancy by providing a minimum of two months' notice in writing.
- c. Landlords can recover their property during the fixed term if they have reasonable grounds. These grounds would be in accordance with the existing grounds in Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1988 and would include antisocial behaviour and the tenant not paying the rent. Landlords must give the tenant notice (which would follow the notice set out in section 8 of the Housing Act 1988 for the ground or grounds used). Additionally, there would be grounds which covered landlords selling the property, as is possible in the current model tenancy agreement, or moving into it themselves. These grounds would require the landlord to provide at least two months or 8 weeks notice in writing.
- d. Rents can only increase once per year at whatever rate the landlord and tenant agree but the landlord must be absolutely clear about how rents will increase when advertising the property. Any agreement on rent should be detailed in the tenancy agreement.
- e. Exemptions could be put in place for tenancies which could not realistically last for three years, for example, accommodation let to students or holiday lets.

	14: Do you think that a three year tenancy with a six month break clause as escribed above is workable? Please explain  ☐ Yes
	□ No
	In principle it is workable and many of the elements appear sensible – especially in a well-working market where relative power is fairly even. But it may not be the best approach and in particular could result in 6 months tenancies becoming the norm for some groups of people. So the answer is no.
	For those landlords who might normally raise rents excessively (however that may be defined), the 6-month break clause may create a de-facto tenancy whereby the break serves as a way of enabling landlords to go ahead with large rent rises through the churn of tenants. It is unlikely that this would occur in the better end of the sector where landlords operate to maintain their revenue stream at a steady rate. It is however very likely that this could happen at the lower more questionable end of the market where some of the greatest problems exist.
	The 6-month break will discourage more vulnerable tenants from challenging poor housing quality. With a 6-month break clause these tenants could effectively need to put up with substandard housing for 6 months.
	There are pros and cons to having a probationary period – though there appears to be little evidence of how it has worked in the social sector where the landlord maintains the tenancy if the tenant has kept to the rules. There are clear problems with the Scottish system where tenants can give notice immediately but equally 6 months has the problems set out above. At the least there should be an analysis of what evidence there is on the value of the break clause and a question asked about whether a year might be better.
	The retention of Section 21 -no fault eviction (in this case at 6 months and after 3 years) may also not build the continuity that this policy aims to achieve.
	A major area of concern is the right to evict if the landlord wishes to sell the property even to another landlord. This mirrors the Scotland legislation where there is as yet little evidence and in Ireland where there were fears it would result in those landlords who have lower than market rents evicting in order to sell with vacant possession. Ideally one would wish to develop a framework where for the tenanted value is close to the vacant possession value. So anything that can be done to facilitate this would be desirable. At the moment it is possibly the practicable answer. Again the biggest issue is that it is not obvious that any relevant evidence has been looked at.
	15 If you are a landlord would you be willing to offer the model of longer tenancy
al	pove be attractive to you?
	☐ Yes (landlord responding)
	□ No (landlord responding)

□ No (tenant responding)

Q16: How long do you think an initial fixed considering any break clauses or notice p	· ·
<del>□ 12 months</del>	
□ <del>2 years</del>	
<del>□ 3 years</del>	
□ 5 years	
□ No limit set	
⊖ Other	
Most countries that aim to stabilise rents have ustarted from a 3 year term but moved to unlimite for choosing 3 years seems to be around the Bogood quality enforcement procedures. The only rent within the contract – and this is a real issue and removes the no fault eviction issue.	ed before legislating. The main reason uild to Rent conditions. Both depend on cost to unlimited is how one sets the
<ul> <li>Less than 3 months</li> <li>∃ 3 months</li> </ul>	
☐ 6 months	
☐ 12 months	
□ Other	
We have looked at this issue under Q14 – there least means that there isn't a cliff edge earlier the	
Q18: How much notice should landlords b	be required to give to tenants when they
want to recover their property to sell or m	
Less than 1 month	
☐ 1 month or 4 weeks	
☐ 6 weeks	
<ul><li>2 months or 8 weeks</li><li>3 months or 12 weeks</li></ul>	
□ 5 months or 12 weeks	
□ 5 mornins or 24 weeks □ Longer than 6 months	
<del>□ Longer man o monus</del>	

Q19: How much notice should tenants be required to give to their landlords when

•	it to leave their tenancy?
	ss than 1 month
	month or 4 weeks
<del>□ 6 v</del>	
	nonths or 8 weeks nonths or 12 weeks
	nonths or 24 weeks
	nger than 6 months
he fixed Schedule ecover t	
<del>□ N</del> o	)
□ Yes	mum that these restrictions should be? (Tick up to two) s – limited to once a year s, rent increases should be limited to once per year s – rent increases should be limited to once every two years
	s – rent increases should be limited in frequency but not in the amount that can charged
	s – any rent increases should be linked with inflation measures (e.g. Consumer ice Index (CPI)
<del>□ Ye</del>	s any rent increases should be linked to local market averages
<del>□ N</del> e	rent increases should not be limited
<b>□</b> Otl	her – please explain
)22: Wha	at do you think is the best way to ensure that landlords offer longer term
	s to those that want them or need them? Please explain.
	ange the law to require all landlords to offer longer tenancies

option to choose a shorter term    ── Financial incentives	
<ul> <li>Voluntary measures such as a kitemark on longer term properties or an update version of the existing model tenancy agreement</li> </ul>	<del>d</del>
⊖ Other (please explain)	
The evidence is currently clear that landlords do not generally wish to offer longer tenancies so unless it is made compulsory it is likely to have a slow take up (though default tenure might speed things up) There may be a case for a clear voluntary but strongly advised approach bringing with the government the major landlord organisations and getting them to advise acceptance in normal circumstances. This could be followed by a move towards legislating to require a longer tenancy once there has been some clear evaluation of outcomes.	
Q23: Which types of tenancy should be exempted from the proposed system?  □ Purpose Built Student Accommodation	
□ All Student Accommodation	
<ul> <li>☐ Holiday Lets</li> <li>☐ Tenancies for those with visas ending in the next 3 years</li> </ul>	
☐ Tenancies for those with visas enaing in the next 3 years ☐ Tenancies for those with short term work contracts	
□ Other (Please explain)	

# Q24: What do you think would be the benefits and disadvantages of changing the law to require all landlords to offer the longer term tenancy model?

The disadvantage would be the likelihood that some landlords would withdraw their properties from the market. However the advantage would be that these properties could well be acquired by more professional landlords who are in the business for the long term.

There are however significant risks both because of landlords choosing the easy life and excluding more risky potential tenants and because we have no idea what the supply response might be. A two stage approach could be more appropriate based on voluntary move towards unlimited term tenancies. BUT outcomes under any scheme will depend on effective enforcement.

Q25: What, if any, financial incentive could encourage longer tenancies? Please explain

226: If there were a financial incentive to offering longer tenancies, what condition hould a landlord have to comply with to be eligible? (Tick all that apply)    Meet all legal requirements   Agree to certain restrictions about frequency and level of any rent increases   Comply with a minimum property standards, including gas safety checks and tenancy deposit protection   Other (please explain)    Clearly, they should meet the legal requirements and point 3 is also already a legal requirement. Point 2 is the only thing on this list that is new. This list is one reason for not providing financial incentives but a proper flat playing field in which regulation is enforced.    227: What other options to promote longer tenancies should be considered?	Obviously payment will always produce some result – but there might be a great deal of deadweight loss. What would be better would be to produce a more coherent tax system for landlords which wasn't so distortionary.	
Meet all legal requirements Agree to certain restrictions about frequency and level of any rent increases Comply with a minimum property standards, including gas safety checks and tenancy deposit protection Other (please explain)  Clearly, they should meet the legal requirements and point 3 is also already a legal requirement. Point 2 is the only thing on this list that is new. This list is one reason for not providing financial incentives but a proper flat playing field in which regulation is enforced.  27: What other options to promote longer tenancies should be considered?  Most importantly a proper and transparent enforcement system that works for both sides.  Anything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady stream of income rather than short term profit.  A more coherent tax system.  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a rotected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from explain the proper should be considered to the proper should be considered to the proper should be considered?  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a cotected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from the proper should be considered to the proper should be considered to the proper should be considered?  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a cotected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from the proper should be considered.	system for familiarias which wash too distortionary.	
requirement. Point 2 is the only thing on this list that is new. This list is one reason for not providing financial incentives but a proper flat playing field in which regulation is enforced.  27: What other options to promote longer tenancies should be considered?  Most importantly a proper and transparent enforcement system that works for both sides.  Anything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady stream of income rather than short term profit.  A more coherent tax system.  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from exple who do not share it? If yes, please provide details.	nould a landlord have to comply with to be eligible? (Tick all that apply)  Meet all legal requirements  Agree to certain restrictions about frequency and level of any rent increa  Comply with a minimum property standards, including gas safety checks tenancy deposit protection	ises
Most importantly a proper and transparent enforcement system that works for both sides.  Anything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady stream of income rather than short term profit.  A more coherent tax system.  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from eople who do not share it? If yes, please provide details.  — Yes	requirement. Point 2 is the only thing on this list that is new. This list is one reason fo not providing financial incentives but a proper flat playing field in which regulation is	r
Most importantly a proper and transparent enforcement system that works for both ides. Anything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady stream of income rather than short term profit.  A more coherent tax system.  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from eople who do not share it? If yes, please provide details.		
ides. Anything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady tream of income rather than short term profit.  A more coherent tax system.  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from the explanation of the specific provide details.  Here is a steady treation of the steady are looking for a steady treation of the stea	27: What other options to promote longer tenancies should be considered?	?
Anything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady stream of income rather than short term profit.  A more coherent tax system.  28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from the explanation of the specific provide details.  — Yes		
28: Do you consider that any of the above would impact on people who share a otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from ople who do not share it? If yes, please provide details.	nything that would increase professional landlords who are looking for a steady	
otected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently from eople who do not share it? If yes, please provide details.  — Yes	A more coherent tax system.	
LI INO		
	rotected characteristic, as defined under the Equalities Act 2010, differently eople who do not share it? If yes, please provide details.	

Q29:Do you have any other comments that have not been captured elsewhere in this consultation?

For tenants there will be some greater security around rent rises and longer secure tenancies (6mo +2.5 years). This is largely positive, except for the points made above, however there are some caveats to this.

Agreed rates of yearly rent rises will be likely to contribute to yearly rent rises. Whilst it is positive that these will not be shocking or exorbitant it is more likely that they will be regular and yearly. This is acknowledged in paragraph 48 of the document where it is indicated that 68% or landlords do not currently raise rents on a yearly basis with sitting tenants. Evidence from Germany reinforces this concern. It is likely that an inflation based adjustment would be better than an 'agreed' increase before the start of the contract.

One rather odd omission is any discussion of Assured Tenancies which have many of the attributes that we are looking for and which have been in place since 1980. A relevant issue is why they are hardly used in the PRS given the availability of Assured Shorthold Tenancies as are some of the analyses in the 1980s.

The consultation document takes a very simplistic view which appears to treat landlords and tenants as almost homogeneous groups. This is a massive simplification which reflects the lack of both an underlying framework for analysis and an evidence base for the suggested approach and possible alternatives.

It might be helpful if the policy approach was reconsidered taking a geographic focus that recognises the difference in the propensity to enact extreme rent rises /no-fault evictions across the country. In order to do this more accurate data would need to be secured.

Sadly, the consultation document does not address the problems associated with tenants in receipt of Housing Benefit. A recent study by Shelter and the NHF indicate that at least 1 in 10 high street agencies will not rent to tenants in receipt of housing benefit.

Finally it would be far more helpful if the approach taken recognised more clearly that this is not a zero sum game. If we get it wrong both sides will suffer and if we get it right it could really be a win-win environment. Unusually this is an area where it might be better to move a little slowly so that there is time for appropriate data and research findings can be analysed and their results taken into account as well as further discussion with the major stakeholders to work towards a greater understanding among the different groups and a willingness to move forward towards a more coherent system.

A report that we have completed for the RLA\* reflects a belief that there is considerable good will among landlords for change but that whatever is enacted should be acceptable across political parties.

\*Assessing the evidence on Rent Control from an International Perspective Christine Whitehead and Peter Williams, London, LSE London August 2018