A crisis like no other? Subjective unmet needs in health care during the first wave of the COVID 19 crisis in Austria

- Andrea E. Schmidt¹, Ricardo Rodrigues², Cassandra Simmons², Nadia Steiber^{3, 4}
- ¹ Austrian National Public Health Institute (*Gesundheit Österreich*), Stubenring 6, 1010 Vienna, Austria, Contact: <u>andrea.schmidt@goeg.at</u>
- ² European Centre for Social Welfare Policy and Research, Berggasse 17, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- ³ Department of Sociology, University of Vienna, Austria
- ⁴ Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna, Austria



Source: pixabay



Definition

» Subjective Unmet Needs (SUN) for health care: gap between the services judged necessary to deal with one's health problems and services actually received (Allin et al., 2010) as opposed to clinical assessments (Carr & Wolfe, 1976)



Setting the scene 1/2

- » Pandemic has had an unprecedented impact on European health care systems, many experienced a shock particularly for non-urgent treatments (ECDC, 2020) > postponements, capacities reserved for COVID-19 patients
- Patients experienced a de-prioritisation of essential care needs both in inpatient (De Rosa et al., 2020; Mafham et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Soreide et al., 2020) and ambulatory care settings (Aujayeb et al., 2020)
- » Austria saw e.g. a drop in inpatient stays for endoprothetic hip and knee surgeries of 80% in April 2020 compared to April 2019 (Eglau, 2021).
- » Dearth of knowledge on how this supply-side shock in health services is affecting access to care across different population groups.

Setting the scene 2/2

- » Tremendous social impact of the pandemic (Horton, 2020; Bambra, 2020) > ,syndemic' (Merril, 2009)
- » Previous crises:
 - » Increases in out-of-pocket payments, reduced availability of services (due to austerity!)
 - » Increase in SUN
- » COVID-19 crisis:
 - » supply of healthcare dropped due to a shift of resources
 - » very sudden shock to both health and economic systems
 - » strong, sudden and relatively indiscriminate supply-side effects on health care systems



Source: Bambra, 2020



How Austria handled the pandemic

- » Stringent measures at the onset, loosening more quickly than others (cf. Hale et al., 2020)
- » No official guidelines as to the closure of ambulatory care practices and shifting of services in hospitals > resulting in overly restrictive access to inpatient care, and large variations in ambulatory care (Schmidt et al., forthcoming; Webb et al., forthcoming)



Source: pixabay



Objectives of the study

- » Compare unmet needs during the pandemic to pre-pandemic times in Austria ("excess" SUN)
- Analyse whether SUN during the pandemic were systematically related to (i) SES or (ii) other personal characteristics
 - » System level factors (e.g. availability, waiting times)
 - » Individual level factors (e.g. fear of contagion)



Data

AKCOVID survey (June 2020)

- » Novel data from a representative survey in Austria (N=2,000) in the population aged 20-64 years
- » Quota sample based on gender, age, education, household composition, and NUTS 2-regionPost-stratification weights used

European Social Survey (ESS) 2015, wave 7

- » Used for the analysis of the pre-pandemic situation
- » Survey of adults aged 15+



Methods

- » Determine ,excess' SUN: compare levels of SUN in June 2020 across age, gender, SRH and various SES groups to a prepandemic baseline
- » Multinomial logistic regression analysis (AMEs) for SUN in 2020:
 - » Model 1: adjust for age, gender and SES (education, employment, make ends meet) and SRH
 - » Model 2a: only men
 - » Model 2b: only women
 - » Model 3: calculating interactions with SRH status (for age, financial well-being, employment)

Operationalising SUN variable

- » Forgone medical examination or treatment since the beginning of the pandemic that was perceived as needed
- » Distinguish those that had needs and managed to access care during the pandemic from those without care needs
- » Conditional on reporting SUN, reason for SUN in 4 groups:
 - » had a need and received care
 - » COVID-related SUN (fear of infection, provider closed, treatment postponed)
 - » SUN not directly related to or caused by the pandemic (financial barriers, knowledge, waiting times, reachability, time)
 - » no unmet need

SUN in ESS are operationalized as a binary variable and directly correspond with the category of SUN unrelated to the pandemic in the AKCOVID data

Results: Comparison with pre-pandemic baseline 1/2



GmbH •••

	Total SUN		Total SUN 2020		non-COVID-19		Excess
Reculte		2015 (a)	(b)		SUN 2020 (c)		SUN
Results.							COVID-19
Comparison with pre- pandemic baseline 2/2				p-value		p-value	(b)-(c)
		%	%	(b)-(a)	%	(c)-(a)	p.p.
	Total population	5.90	20.07	<0.001	3.99	0.026	16.08
	Education						
	Primary	4.89	20.74	<0.001	7.14	0.406	13.60
	Secondary	6.24	21.55	<0.001	3.65	0.012	17.90
	Tertiary	6.05	16.95	<0.001	3.27	0.062	13.68
	Labour market						
	Employment	4.66	16.65	<0.001	3.46	0.178	13.19
	Unemployment	11.50	25.65	0.036	7.70	0.442	17.95
	Inactivity	8.63	28.51	<0.001	4.54	0.145	23.97
	Retirement	3.86	36.58	<0.001	4.40	0.863	32.18
	Age groups						
	20-39 years	5.79	17.49	<0.001	5.20	0.673	12.29
	40-49 years	6.38	15.24	0.001	1.91	0.001	13.33
	50-64 years	5.68	26.35	<0.001	3.87	0.199	22.48
	SRH			_			
	Good/Very good	4.72	13.41	<0.001	2.16	0.003	11.25
	Poor/Very bad	12.03	37.34	<0.001	8.64	0.196	28.70
	Making ends meet						
		5.09	17.99	<0.001	3.02	0.019	
	Comfortable/managing			-			14.97
	Difficult/very difficult	10.67	27.74	<0.001	7.56	0.225	20.18
	Sample size (N)	1345	1970		1970		

Results: Prevalence of SUN and reasons for SUN

		% (mean)							
Variable	Have need and got care	COVID- related barrier: fear of infection	COVID- related barrier: closed provider	COVID- related barrier: treatment postponed	Financial barriers	Other unmet need	No unmet need	No. of observations	
Total	23,5%	1,8%	7,0%	7,3%	0,6%	3,4%	56,4%	1970	

- » Most important reasons for SUN were directly related to the pandemic
- Almost one fifth of people in retirement (18.7%) reported postponements. High values also among people with poor SRH (14.1%) and in older age groups (11.6%)
- » Closed providers most often for people with poor SRH (10.5%) and unemployed people (9.9%)
- » Financial reasons most often by unemployed (3.6%) but overall very low



Results: Multivariate analysis (1/2)

» Controlling for demographics, financial well-being and SRH

Barriers related to the pandemic:

- » Highest risk of reporting COVID-related barriers for people in oldest age group in the sample (50-64 years)
- » Also, retired and inactive people significantly more likely to report these barriers, but no effect found for unemployed people
- » Male retirees, male inactive (but not women)

Barriers not directly related to the pandemic's supply shock

- » People with financial hardship (among men)
- » Youngest age group (20–39 years)

Results: Multivariate analysis (dv: SUN categories) (2/2)

Multinomial regression results, average marginal effects (AME)

	Model 1						
	Have need and	COVID-	Other	No unmet			
Variable	got care	related unmet	unmet	need			
		need	need				
Female (ref=male)	0,037*	0,043**	0,000	-0,080***			
Age (mean)							
(ref=20-39 years)							
40-49 years	-0,046	0,037	-0,027**	0,036			
50-64 years	-0,050*	0,088***	-0,012	-0,027			
Education (ref=primary)							
Secondary	0,035	0,070**	-0,016	-0,089*			
Tertiary	0,094*	0,040	-0,001	-0,134**			
Employment at time of survey							
(ref=employed)							
Unemployed	0,035	0,023	0,002	-0,059			
Inactive	-0,026	0,099***	-0,009	-0,064			
Retired	0,009	0,089**	-0,008	-0,090*			
Income situation at time of							
survey (ref=make ends meet)							
(Very) difficult to manage	0,008	0,013	0,024*	-0,044			
SRH							
(ref=poor)							
(very) good health	-0,089***	-0,134***	-0,071***	0,294***			
Nr of observations	1970						
Pseudo R ²		0.0715					

Analysing the dynamic of poor self-rated health status





Summary

- » Stark increase in SUN in the pandemic (,excess SUN'), exclusively due to reasons directly related to the supplyrelated shock: postponed treatments, closed providers and (marginally) fear of infection
- » No differences by financial hardship for COVID-related reasons
- People in poor health consistently more likely to report SUN than others, and indications of ,double vulnerability' (poor health and excluded from the labour market)
- » People in the oldest age group in our sample (50-64 years) with worse health persistently more affected than those in good health



Discussion

» Four key messages arise from our results

- » Tripling of SUN raises concerns about mid to long-term health consequences ...as well as for public health budgets
- » Lack of effect for socio-economic groups: Were those in higher SES (also) unable to find care they needed due to sudden restrictions in access?
- » Poor health and exclusion from labour market as potentially new vulnerabilities in this crisis
- » Older age groups in poor health among the most affected in terms of SUN:
 - » General restricted access
 - » Higher risk of requiring ICUs might have triggered an ,invisible' effect of (further) de-prioritisation of older people



Food for thought / Conclusions

- Resilient responses require hospital and preparedness plans for hospitals and ambulatory care
- » Role of primary care underestimated in Austria (unlike in other SHI countries like Netherlands or Slovenia)
- » Negative financial impact on household income substantial relatively early, and expected to increase (Steiber, 2021).
- Future austerity measures to be expected? Might increase OOP and change SUN in the mid term.



Source: pixabay



Thank you for your attention!

andrea.schmidt@goeg.at

Subjective unmet needs_pandemic_Austria (Andrea E. Schmidt, GÖG)

References

- » Allin, S., Grignon, M. and Le Grand, J. (2010) Subjective unmet need and utilization of health care services in Canada: What are the equity implications? *Social Science & Medicine*, 70, 465–472.
- » Aujayeb A, Johnston R, Routh C, Wilson P, and Mann S. (2020) Consolidating medical ambulatory care services in the COVID-19 era, Int J Health Sci (Qassim), 14(4):1-3.
- » Bambra , C., Riordan, R., Ford, J., and Matthews, F. (2020) The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities, *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 74, 964-968, doi:10.1136/jech-2020-214401.
- » Carr, W., & Wolfe, S. (1976). Unmet needs as sociomedical indicators, *International Journal of Health Services*, 6(3), 417-430.
- » De Rosa et al. (2020) Reduction of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction in Italy in the COVID-19 era, *European Heart Journal*, 41(22), 2083-2088, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa409</u>.
- » ECDC (2020) Guidance on the provision of support for medically and socially vulnerable populations in EU/EEA countries and the United Kingdom during the COVID-19 pandemic, Technical Report, 3 July 2020, available at <u>https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Medically-and-socially-vulnerable-populations-COVID-19.pdf</u> (visited on 3rd May 2021).
- » Eglau, Karin (2021) Auswirkungen der COVID-19-Pandemie auf die stationäre Spitalsversorgung anhand ausgewählter Bereiche. Aktualisierte Rapid Analysis. [Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on inpatient care, rapid analysis] Vienna: Gesundheit Österreich. Available at: <u>https://jasmin.goeg.at/1633/</u> (visited on 3rd May 2021).

References

- » European Social Survey (2015) *Data and Documentation*. Available at <u>https://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/</u> (visited on 3rd May 2021).
- » Hale T, Angrist N, Cameron-Blake E, Hallas L, Kira B, Majumdar, S, Petherick A, Phillips T, Tatlow H, Webster S (2020). Oxford COVID-19 government response tracker, Blavatnik School of Government.
- » Horton, R. (2020) Offline: COVID-19 is not a pandemic, *The Lancet*, 396(10255), P874, DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32000-6
- » Mafham, M.M. et al. (2020) COVID-19 pandemic and admission rates for and management of acute coronary syndromes in England, The Lancet, 396(10248), P381-389, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31356-8</u>.
- » Marmot, M., Allen, K. Goldblatt, P., Herd, E., and Morrison, J. (2020) *Build Back Fairer: The COVID-19 Marmot Review*. London: Institute for Health Equity.
- » Schmidt, A.E., Merkur, S. et al. (forthcoming) Tackling the COVID-19 pandemic in social health insurance countries during the first wave, *Health Policy*.
- » Søreide, J et al. (2020) Immediate and long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on delivery of surgical services, *British Journal of Surgery*, 107(10): 1250-1261.
- Steiber, N. (2021) *Die COVID-19 Gesundheits- und Arbeitsmarktkrise und ihre Auswirkungen auf die Bevölkerung.* [The COVID-19 health and labour market crisis and its impact on the population] Materialien zu Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft Working Paper-Reihe, AK Wien Nr. 211, Vienna: Chamber of Labour.
- » Webb, E. et al. (forthcoming) Providing health services effectively during the first wave of COVID-19: a cross-country comparison on planning services, managing cases, and maintaining essential services, *Health Policy*.
- Wu J, Mamas MA, Mohamed MO, et al (2021) Place and causes of acute cardiovascular mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic, Heart, 107, 113-119.



Hypotheses

- » SUN increased due to COVID-19 compared to before the pandemic (from very low levels)
- » SUN increased particularly for those with higher health care needs (proxy: poor SRH)
- » SUN increased less severely for groups most affected in previous crises (e.g. the unemployed; those with financial hardship)
- » Differences in SUN exist for men vs. women due to differences in health, employment and income situation