



# crisis states programme

development research centre



Working Paper no.8

## SUBALTERN RESURGENCE: A RECONNAISSANCE OF PANCHAYAT ELECTION IN BIHAR

**Shaibal Gupta**  
Asian Development Research  
Centre (ADRI)  
Patna, India

January 2002

Copyright © Shaibal Gupta, 2002

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing of the publisher nor be issued to the public or circulated in any form other than that in which it is published.

Requests for permission to reproduce any part of this Working Paper should be sent to:  
The Editor, Crisis States Programme, Development Research Centre, DESTIN, LSE, Houghton Street,  
London WC2A 2AE.

---

## Crisis States Programme

### Working papers series no.1

*English version:*  
**ISSN 1740-5807 (print)**  
**ISSN 1740-5815 (on-line)**

*Spanish version:*  
**ISSN 1740-5823 (print)**  
**ISSN 1740-5831 (on-line)**

Development  
**DESTIN**  
Studies Institute



## **Crisis States Programme**

### **Subaltern Resurgence:**

#### **A reconnaissance of Panchayat election in Bihar**

**Shaibal Gupta**

**Asian Development Research Institute (ADRI), Patna, India**

The decision of the British Council to wind up its cute library from Patna and surfacing of a new social composition, as revealed in the recently held Panchayat Election in Bihar, probably hold promise of a unique political, academic and cultural potboiler in the firmament of this state. If the British Council Library was the last citadel of Eurocentric world view, the social constellation which has emerged out of the Panchayat election, will be the final triumph of a Bihar-centric rural world view. The chasm between these two world views was being witnessed for a long time; but with the decision of the banishment of the library from this benighted state and further democratization and electoral empowerment through the recent Panchayat Election, there will now be a symbolic breach in the dialogue between these two world views. If this process of democratization had not occurred in this election, possibly Bihar could have 're-forged' its link with the national polity.

The Panchayat Election of 2001 was held in Bihar after a lapse of twenty-three years. In spite of being Rajiv Gandhi's pet dream and subsequently a part of the Constitutional fief of the 73<sup>rd</sup>.Amendment, the Panchayat Election was not held earlier either by the Congress Party, or later by the Janta Dal, and still later by its progeny RJD. The reluctance of the state leaders of the Congress was understandable. Earlier Indira Gandhi and later Rajiv Gandhi tried to forge direct links with the lower power centres, ignoring the regional power satraps. Indira Gandhi had limited this strategy within the party-fold to marginalize the 'Syndicate' and their regional counterparts by directly approaching the masses. Rajiv Gandhi had then tried to institutionalize it by fabricating the Panchayat Raj structure, as he had disdain and contempt for the regional leaders. Both Indira and Rajiv increasingly perfected the art of 'plebiscitory' politics, where party structures and regional power centres proved to be stumbling block. Apart from this political implication, decentralization and the accompanying devolution of resource to the rural areas was also expected to arrest the trend of a shrinking home market. With liberalization and opening of the home market, the stagnation could be circumvented by a buoyant rural market which could be ensured through decentralization and devolution. But since such decentralisation entailed weakening of state level leadership, even during the fragmented tenures of Jagannath Mishra or Binddeswari Dubey or even Bhagwat Jha Azad as Chief Ministers of Bihar in eighties, election for the panchayats was not held.

Even in the Panchayat Election of 1978 itself, held no less than twenty-three years ago, there was visible shift in the political centre of gravity. Karpoori Thakur, the then Chief Minister, had implemented the Mungeri Lall Commission Report, which entailed reservation in the state government jobs, for the lower backwards (Annexure I castes) and the upper backwards (Annexure II castes) in Bihar. After the implementation of the Report, the whole state got engulfed into agitation either in favour or against the reservation. This measure of Karpoori Thakur completely changed the political and social discourse in Bihar. The social divide that followed in Bihar was dramatized in the intensely fought bye-election of Samastipur Parliamentary seat, necessitated by the resignation of Karpoori Thakur, after his being elected as the Chief Minister. A greenhorn in politics, Ajit Mehta, could defeat the glamorous

Tarkeshwari Sinha after fierce fight. For the first time, a pan-backward upsurge could be seen for Ajit Mehta, which was going to script subsequent political development in Bihar.

There were two immediate fall-outs of Karpoori Thakur's measure. First, the lower backwards, distributed amongst 108 castes and who constitute about 33 percent of the population in Bihar, were brought into the centre stage for the first time and thus they got a distinct identity. Secondly, most of the homogenous political formations based on ideological moorings, got split vertically on the basis of social divide. The spectacle of CPI was most unnerving. This premier Communist Party, even while fighting unsuccessfully Jay Prakash's movement in seventies, never allowed its base to be eroded, nor its organization to be effected. Infact, during that period, the organization got strengthened and it emerged as a well-oiled machinery. But Karpoori Thakur's reservation policy completely fractured its organisational homogeneity, from which it could never recover.

Karpoori Thakur's strategy of reservation and Panchayat Election was the stroke of a political genius. In the absence of full consolidation of his political support at the state level and possibility of legislative coup, with a powerful adversary like Satyendra Narain Sinha lurking around, Karpoori Thakur opted for decentralization by democratization of the polity. The mix of 'Panchayat' and 'Mungeri Lall' was answer to this strategy. The Communist Party of India (Marxist) followed this strategy of decentralization in West Bengal. Having been ousted several times earlier, either through legislative coup or through President's rule, CPI(M) wanted to ensure substantial transfer of resources from the state level to Panchayats when they once again came to power in late seventies. They felt that in case of legislative destabilisation in future, they could hold on to the lower power centres. Interestingly, the process of decentralization was introduced both in Bihar as well as in West Bengal in 1978, when the Congress Party was briefly eclipsed from power in New Delhi. Even after the fall of the Janta Party Government in centre, the CPI(M) continued to rule in West Bengal, and the process of decentralization was strengthened; whereas in Bihar, it had relapsed back to the old power configuration. West Bengal in recent years have witnessed the highest growth in agricultural production and substantial reduction in rural poverty, which is being attributed to decentralization. Fortunately, even in the absence of decentralization, the process of democratization could not be stopped in Bihar. After all, the efforts of Karpoori Thakur did not go totally in vain. The Congress in eighties again came back to power in Bihar with the help of the old social and political network. However, inspite of its conservatism, it had to give space and take cognizance of the reality of the new social stirrings and many had to be co-opted into the power structure from the social justice segment from below and above. During the tenure of Bhagwat Jha Azad, several key portfolios and posts were given to Ministers and Officers from the social justice group. But these efforts remained more as a token rather than a substantive effort. As a result, the Congress could not build authentic inroads into these social groups.

By the time Laloo came to power, with the dexterous social engineering in the wake of the Mandal Commission, the electoral empowerment of the social justice group was final and complete. While legislative uncertainty of Karpoori Thakur hastened the process of decentralization, Laloo could afford to ignore it because of his relative social and political stability. Class limitation of Laloo also came in the way of decentralization. Coming from the 'Cockney' segment of the local elite, he could never understand that decentralization could develop provincial market and thus trigger development. He was politically more engaged about the implications of collapse of the upper caste citadel in 1990 Assembly Election in Bihar, rather than consolidating this gain through development. The subsequent elections of

1995 and 2000 in the last decade, further strengthened the social justice group, specially its upper segment. This put the question of 'development' in the backburner. Thus the political purpose of decentralization, like the land reform, was over for the upper backwards in Bihar. In case of the Panchayat Election, it was feared that the backward coalition which was built so assiduously over the years will be fractured at the grassroot level, specially in the absence of an organised and disciplined party structure. Even after the formation of Samata Party and the subsequent split of the Janta Dal into JD(U) and RJD, the hegemony of the social justice group was not threatened at the state level. But this could not be stated with certainty at the grass root level in case of Panchayat Election. It was probably in the mind of the RJD leaders that a strategic and stable coalition was threatened to collapse, if, Yadavs, the preeminent caste of the social justice group, jockeys for power with the Muslims at the local centres. Further, with the meagre resources at the command of the state government, the decentralization will aggravate the financial crisis. Lastly, with the possibility of increased transparency, Panchayat Election was not a palatable proposition for the political managers, Cabinet Ministers and apex civil servants of the state. However, the Panchayat Election could not be avoided thanks to the intervention of the judiciary. The broad results of the election largely conform to the above social and political trends in Bihar since the last Panchayat Elections in 1978, which had gradually strengthened the hold of the upper backward castes in the political power structure of Bihar. The most significant result of the recent Panchayat Election is another round of consolidation of the political power of the now famous M-Y (Muslim and Yadav) combination. Though this combination appeared to be fragile at the Panchayat level elections, but by the time chairmanship of Zilla Parishads were being worked out, the magic of M-Y (Muslim & Yadav) combination started working. But along with that most significant and obvious trend, the recent elections have also revealed a few more interesting phenomena, each of which has got a clear political implications.

To begin with, one should first note that the 2001 Panchayat Election will go down in the history of Bihar as a turning point for the electoral empowerment of the lower backwards (the castes listed in Annexure I). Till recently, they were not taken into political or social cognizance, inspite of their population being more than 33 percent of the total. With about 108 castes in their rank, no individual segment had a overwhelming presence as is the case with the Yadavas. In spite of being socially and economically marginalized, they were not given any special attention like Dalits or other minorities. There was also no dramatic moment for them to forge a pan-lower-backward castes unity. The social and economic position of the castes in this category were not better than that of the Dalits. Infact, they felt very deprived for being denied discriminatory protection. Further, in the absence of a pan backward class movement like that in South or Western India, the possibility of any social mobilization exclusively for them was forestalled. Such was not the case with people from other backward castes.

This was not the case with people from upper backward castes. While most of the anti-feudal movement led by Kishan Sabha before independence was successful on the economic agenda (like unsettlement of permanent settlement), they could not absorb the 'social aspirations' of the backward class tenants. That necessitated formation of organizations like 'Triveni Sangh' way back in the thirties, responding to the social aspirations of the upper backward castes like Koeri, Kurmi and Yadav. Later, this segment not only benefited socially but surged forward unprecedentedly in the economic front as well. Before independence, establishment of Patna as state capital, location of Bihar Regimental Centre at Danapur (an adjacent town of Patna), laying of railway line connecting the region to metropolitan centres like Calcutta, all created a huge market for the vegetable, milk and other food products grown in the region. Support

base of the 'Triveni Sangh' comprised the main beneficiaries of this market, which triggered agro-capitalism and led to substantial accumulation. Thereafter, the devastating earthquake of the thirties led to a sudden spurt in construction activities which in turn led to fortuitous conditions for 'brick capitalism' again utilized by the upper backwards, mostly the Kurmis and partly the Yadavs. In the post-independence period, with the abolition of the Zamindari system, large amount of 'bakast' land were also transferred to the upper backwards. They infact, along with the former Bhumihaar tenants of the Zamindari system, led the 'green revolution' in Bihar. Thus the combination of 'numbers' and 'accumulation' ensured their electoral empowerment, which led to their independent identity. Infact, Srikrishna Sinha, the first Chief Minister of Bihar, could marginalize his powerful opponents like Anugraha Narayan Sinha by promoting and accommodating several upper backward caste leaders in the cabinet. Laloo's advent by nineties signalled the final transition of political power to the social justice group, with the combination of 'HYV seed and Fertiliser technology' and electoral empowerment.

The status of lower backward castes during all those years had, however, remained unaltered. While economic empowerment had touched a fraction of the upper backward castes, the large segments of the lower backward castes remained economically disadvantaged. Politically also they were marginalized. Karpoori Thakur brought them to the centre stage by implementing Mugerli Lall Commission, which ensured separate reservation for them. They also had to bear the brunt of the anti-reservation agitation along with the upper backward castes. During the early part of the tenure of Laloo's Chief Ministership, he found them to be his natural ally, specially during his conflict with the other segments of the upper backwards led by Nitish Kumar. Like Srikrishna Sinha, Laloo had also outmaneuvered his powerful opponents by promoting several lower backward caste leaders, like Rameshwar Rai (Amat), Puncham Mandal (Dhanuk), Rabindra Kumar (Tanti), Ramdev Bhandari (Keot), Ram Karan Pall (Gareri) and Jai Narain Nishad (Mallah). Whereas Karpoori Thakur had reserved only 10 percent of the government jobs for them, Laloo increased it to 14 percent earlier, and after the vivisection of the state, to 18 percent later. Even after the rise of Karpoori Thakur or Dhanik Lall Mandal (former Governor of Haryana), the lower backwards did not acquire a separate identity. Both the above leaders were considered to be powerful leaders of the Socialist movement, who had given fillip to the macro backward caste movement. But it was Laloo who through his deft political management, converted the support of lower backward castes into a bedrock of social justice upsurge. Infact, they could vote freely for the first time in the 1995 General Election, as a result of their heightened motivation as well as better security provided by T.N. Seshan, the then Election Commissioner of India. Over and above, during the last two decades, reservation resulting from Mungerli Lall Commission Report has ensured substantial presence of the lower backward castes in the state civil service, albeit at middle and lower levels. In this Panchayat Election, however, they have decisively established their identity. Not only one from their own rank has emerged as a Chairman of the Zilla Parishad, but a large number of them have been elected either as a Mukhia (3.9%) or as members of Zilla Parishad (3.5%) (Table 1). This election has thus revealed that they no longer wants to remain as a electoral fodder of the upper backwards, and would like to be at the helm of different power centres. For the first time, they have tried to forge a pan-lower-backward alliance at different levels. Their success in the Panchayat Election is certainly not spectacular, but their presence in the power structure has at least become noticeable and it indicates a promise of new equation in the provincial politics and social alliances.

A second important phenomenon to be noted from the results of the Panchayat Election is that, although there has been a change in the structure of power in the lower centres in favour

of upper and lower backward castes, the upper castes or the traditional elites still retain a substantial part of the social and political power at the grassroot level. In India, unlike in the higher centres, there is complete integration of economic, political and social power in the lower centres, that is at village or at Panchayat level. In this respect, the spectrum of Bihar is no different. But at higher echelon this integration has been breached substantially. It can be said with certainty that the social forces which are at the helm in Bihar are qualitatively different from anywhere in India. The 'traditional elites' were relegated into the background in Bihar long back. The 'vernacular' elites, who had spearheaded the 'Green Revolution' are abdicating in favour of the 'Cockney' elites. The emergence of the later section is result of a 'electoral' rather than that of 'economic' empowerment. They are not wedded to Euro-centric model. Nor do they aspire to be the Vernacular 'Bhadralok'. Their worldview does not extend beyond Panchayats and their parlance is in local dialect. Intellectually and through their class disposition, they are equipped to manage just the Panchayats, not anything beyond.

The social support base of this elite essentially comprises those section who are either on the fringe of the market or outside. Unfortunately, this empowerment of the 'Cockney elite' is more electoral rather than economic. Unlike in South India where social empowerment had followed economic development, there is practically an economic stagnation in Bihar. Admittedly, upper backwards have extended their political support base in the Panchayat election of Bihar — Chairmanship (43%), Membership of Zilla Parishads 42% and Mukhias 42%, but the upper castes are still holding substantial power base in rural Bihar. Their share in different elected post is — Chairmanship (35%) and Membership of Zilla Parishads (25%) and Mukhias 34% (Table 1). This integration of political and economic power could be possible for the upper castes in Bihar in substantial measure, because of near absence of economic empowerment of the social justice group in Bihar and near collapse of the state. This has resulted into continuation of earlier patron-client relationship, specially in the rural areas. Amongst the upper castes, performance of the Bhumihars is exceedingly well in comparison to their share in total population (Table 1). Swami Shahajanand's enlightenment and institutional support of Ganesh Dutt had earlier catapulted them into a most dynamic social group. Their highest involvement in the atrocities in the Bihar plains indicates their social determination and class cohesion to hold on to the power base (Table 2). Further, even as an agro-capitalist, they are not ready to give up their political, economic and social power. The present Panchayat Election clearly indicates that they have been reasonably successful in that effort.

Besides the lower backwards castes, even the scheduled caste candidates have performed well in this Panchayat Election. A number of schedule castes members have got elected from the flaming fields of south Bihar plain, the very area where they had to face the main brunt of the atrocities, and quite significantly most of them had won in straight fight. Most of the carnages in Bihar had taken place after the last Panchayat Election (Table 2). And most of the atrocities were directed against the schedule caste of South Bihar plain, which is the most developed track of the state. In spite of development, this area has always got convulsed with the peasant movement. This area also was the main bastion of the Kishan Sabha. Subsequently, various streams of the Communist movement had powerful base there. Ironically, this area is also home of the most anti-peasant armed outfit like Ranveer Sena. Thus most of the atrocities had taken place there, as it was very much linked with the peasant movement. Unlike the peasant movement in north Bihar, in south Bihar, it has not only been intensive but has also been prolonged. The peasant movement in south Bihar is due to a combination of several factors; it may be due to fallout of the capitalist transformation in agriculture, or due to the quagmire of stagnation and semi-feudalism. Apart from the

economic parameters, some attribute it to the problem of social oppression which has sometimes proved to be the proverbial “last straw on the camel’s back” for the rural poor. However, social phenomenon does not necessarily follow a unilinear track. The peasant movement in south Bihar could be combination of all the above factors. In reality, the relation between inequality and social conflict is extremely complex. Whereas one might expect conflict to be particularly common where inequalities are sharp and visible, they sometime appear in their most acute forms where inequalities are actually declining. In all such cases, one has to consider inequalities not only as they exist but also as they are perceived. Under conditions of social and economic change, a real decline in inequality may be accompanied by a heightened comprehension of the differences which persist. The present Panchayat Election has indicated the heightened awareness of the schedule castes, who have faced the main brunt of the atrocities, by getting elected as an independent Mukhia (Table 3). About 1.6 percent of the total number of Mukhias are from are scheduled castes and they all got elected in the direct contest. In this case they were not given the luxury of the reservation. Most of the schedule caste Mukhias had got elected in those districts which had experienced worst atrocities, like Gaya, Aurangabad, Kaimur, Jehanabad, Patna and Rohtas. In some of the districts of North Bihar (Darbhanga, Begusarai, Munger and Supaul), where schedule caste have done relatively better, were also powerful centres of the sharecroppers’ movement led by the Communists.

The left parties generally claim that their poor results in the assembly or parliament elections are no indicator of their real strength; at the grassroots, they are very much present. The recent Panchayat Election results indicate the above assertion has some substance. Amongst the Hindi heartland states, Communist movement was powerful only in the state of Bihar. It had powerful presence in the peasant movement, as well as in the Trade Union movement. Whereas peasant movement was strong in the Bihar plain, the trade union movement was powerful in the Jharkhand region. The genesis of the Communist movement in Bihar was the Kishan Sabha. Earlier, while CPI had limited its discourse to economic issues, later CPI(ML) and other radical organizations have given sufficient thrust to the social issues. While CPI and CPI(M) had stagnated, CPI(ML) had surged forward dramatically in the recent period. With the advent of Laloo with his slogan of social justice, Communist movement got a severe setback. The social base of Laloo and the left are essentially non-antagonistic. Even then they could not work out a viable joint strategy. The present Panchayat Election indicates that mainstream Communist movement is still a force to reckon with. Though this elections were not fought on the Party line, a closer examination would indicate that the left have got substantial number of seats (Table 4). Infact CPI(M), the weakest of the Communist outfit in Bihar, could win the Chairmanship of Supaul Zilla Parishad; CPI missed the Chairmanship of Begusarai District only by a whisker; and they have won 7.1 percent of the Mukhia seats. The result of CPI(ML) nevertheless is surprising. They were expected to get more seats, but could not do so possibly because, in their strongholds in South Bihar, the battle was fierce. The better record of CPI and CPI(M) is also due their close proximity with the literacy movement which was fairly strong in many districts. Infact, in many of the districts, literacy functionaries have emerged as a successful candidate in the Panchayat election, possibly because their activities are viewed as a ‘class-neutral’.

This Panchayat Election will thus go down in history of Bihar as the resurgence of the peripheral subalterns.

**Table 1: Percentage Distribution of Chairman, Members of Zilla Parishads and Mukhias by Their Caste Background**

Caste/ Caste Group	Percentage of		
	Chairmen	Members	Mukhias <sup>1</sup>
1. Upper Caste Hindus	35.2	25.3	34.0
Of which (i) Brahmin	8.2	5.0	7.7
(ii) Bhumihar	13.5	9.1	12.1
(iii) Rajput	13.5	10.3	13.4
(iv) Kayasth	—	0.9	0.8
2. Middle Caste Hindus	45.9	42.1	45.7
Of which (a) Annexure I castes	2.7	3.5	3.9
Of which (i) Dhanuk		0.5	0.6
(ii) Mallah / Gorhi		1.2	2.0
(iii) Others <sup>2</sup>	2.7	1.8	1.3
(b) Annexure II castes	43.2	39.6	41.8
Of which (i) Yadav	35.1	24.2	24.2
(ii) Kurmi	2.7	4.1	5.8
(iii) Koeri		5.2	6.3
(iv) Bania / Vaishya	5.4	4.4	3.6
(v) Others <sup>3</sup>		1.7	1.9
3. Scheduled Caste Hindus		16.9	1.06
Of which (i) Dusadh		5.6	0.7
(ii) Dhobi		0.9	0.07
(iii) Passi		1.2	0.05
(iv) Musahar		0.8	0.2
(v) Others <sup>4</sup>		8.5	0.04
4. Scheduled tribes		0.6	0.5
5. Muslims	16.2	13.0	15.6
6. Marwari	2.7	0.3	—
7. Others		0.8	2.9
<b>Total</b>	100.0	100.0	100.0
No. of Chairman/ Members	37	1160	7120

Notes:

1. Data based on report published in 'Prabhat Khabar', June 27, 2001, Patna. Although total number of 'Mukhias' is 8438, this data relates to 7120 Mukhias for which caste data was available.
2. 'Others' include — Gangota, Barai, Lohar, Hazam, Kumhar, Bind, Suryapuri, Mandal, Nonia, Tharu, Cheneu etc.
3. 'Others' include — Kalwar, Halwai, Kanu, Surhi, Teli etc.
4. 'Others' include — Chamar, Dom, Nat etc.



**Table 2: Distribution of Cases of Atrocities by Period, Area, Caste of Offenders**

Characteristics	Up to 1989		1990 to 1994		1995 to In. 2000		All Cases	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<b>Area</b>								
North Bihar	2	4.0	3	8.1	11	13.1	16	9.4
South Bihar	48	96.0	34	91.9	73	86.9	155	90.4
<b>Total</b>	50	100.0	37	100.0	84	100.0	171	100.0
<b>Caste of Offender</b>								
Bhumihar	11	22.0	7	17.5	37	45.7	55	32.5
Rajput	13	26.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	15	8.7
Yadav	7	14.0	2	5.0	2	2.5	11	6.4
Kurmi	5	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	05	2.8
Multi-caste	0	0.0	4	10.0	0	0.0	4	2.3
Police	5	10.0	8	20.0	8	9.9	21	12.3
Radical Organisations	5	10.0	12	30.0	25	30.8	42	24.5
Unreported	4	8.0	5	12.5	9	11.1	18	10.5
<b>Total</b>	50	100.0	40	100.0	81	100.0	171	100.0

Note:

1. Agrarian violence is essentially a South Bihar Plain phenomenon. There has not been any change in the geographical spread of agrarian violence.

**Table 3 : Share of Scheduled Castes in General Population and Different Layers of Elected Representatives in Different Districts (in Percentage)**

<i>Districts</i>	<b>S C Population</b>	<b>Mukhia</b>
Gaya	29.6	2.4
Nawada	24.4	0
Aurangabad	23.3	5.5
Kaimur	21.7	5.9
Vaishali	19.8	0
Nalanda	19.4	0
Jehanabad	19.4	3.7
Lakhisarai	18.4	—
Samastipur	18.1	1.8
Shekhpura	18.0	0
Jamui	17.4	1.3
Rohtas	17.3	4.1
Madhepura	16.3	0
Muzaffarpur	15.7	—
Saharsa	15.5	0
Supaul	15.5	2.8
Patna	15.5	3.3
Buxar	15.2	0.7
Darbhanga	14.6	2.7
Khagaria	14.5	3.1
Begusarai	14.5	3.5
W. Champaran	14.4	1.2
Munger	14.1	3.0
Araria	13.7	0
Bhojpur	13.5	2.7
Sheohar	13.2	—
E. Champaran	13.1	0.5
Madhubani	12.8	0
Purnia	12.5	0.8
Gopalganj	12.2	0
Sitamarhi	11.8	0.4
Saran	11.7	0.6
Banka	11.6	1.6
Siwan	11.1	1.7
Bhagalpur	9.8	0.4
Katihar	8.8	0.8
Kishanganj	6.6	0.8
Bihar	15.5	1.6

**Table 4 : Performance of Left Parties in Panchayat Elections.**

	Chairmen		Zila Parishad Members		Panchayat Samiti Members		Mukhias	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
CPI	—	—	47	4.0	495	4.2	302	3.5
CPI (M)	1	2.7	31	2.7	139	1.2	150	1.8
CPI (ML)	—	—	20	1.7	225	1.9	133	1.8
Total	1	2.7	98	8.4	859	7.3	585	7.1
Total number of seats	37	100.0	1162	100.0	11650	100.0	8438	100.0

Note : Figures in this table are based on the information provided by each Communist Party about its own performance.

### Working Papers in Series (up to August 2003)

- WP1 Crisis States Programme, 'Concept and Research Agenda' (April 2001) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP2 Crisis States Programme, 'Research Activities' (April 2001)
- WP3 Crisis States Programme, 'States of Crisis in South Asia' (April 2001)
- WP4 Crisis States Programme, 'Research in Latin America' (April 2001) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP5 Crisis States Programme, 'South Africa in Southern Africa' (April 2001)
- WP6 Dennis Rodgers, 'Making Danger a Calling: Anthropology, violence, and the dilemmas of participant observation' (September 2001) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP7 Hugh Roberts, 'Co-opting Identity: The manipulation of Berberism, the frustration of democratisation and the generation of violence in Algeria' (December 2001) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP8 Shaibal Gupta, 'Subaltern Resurgence: A reconnaissance of Panchayat election in Bihar' (January 2002)
- WP9 Benedict Latto, 'Governance and Conflict Management: Implications for donor intervention' (February 2002) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP10 Jo Beall, 'The People Behind the Walls: Insecurity, identity and gated communities in Johannesburg' (February 2002) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP11 Jo Beall, Owen Crankshaw & Susan Parnell, 'Social Differentiation and Urban Governance in Greater Soweto: A case study of post-Apartheid reconstruction' (February 2002) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP12 E. A. Brett, 'Liberal Theory, Uneven Development and Institutional Reform: Responding to the crisis in weak states' (July 2002)
- WP13 John Harriss, 'The States, Tradition and Conflict in North Eastern States of India' (August 2002)
- WP14 David Keen, 'Since I am a Dog, Beware my Fangs: Beyond a 'rational violence' framework in the Sierra Leonean war' (August 2002)
- WP15 Joseph Hanlon, 'Are Donors to Mozambique Promoting Corruption?' (August 2002)
- WP16 Suzette Heald, 'Domesticating Leviathan: Sungusungu groups in Tanzania' (September 2002)
- WP17 Hugh Roberts, 'Moral Economy or Moral Polity? The political anthropology of Algerian riots' (October 2002)
- WP18 James Putzel, 'Politics, the State and the Impulse for Social Protection: The implications of Karl Polanyi's ideas for understanding development and crisis' (October 2002)
- WP19 Hugh Roberts, 'From Segmentarity to Opacity: on Gellner and Bourdieu, or why Algerian politics have eluded theoretical analysis and vice versa' (December 2002) – *Also available in French*
- WP20 Jonathan DiJohn, 'Mineral-Resource Abundance and Violent Political Conflict: A critical assessment of the rentier state model' (December 2002)
- WP21 Victoria Brittain, 'Women in War and Crisis Zones: One key to Africa's wars of under-development' (December 2002)
- WP22 Apurba Baruah, 'Tribal Traditions and Crises of Governance in North East India, with special reference to Meghalaya' (March 2003)
- WP23 Giovanni M. Carbone, 'Emerging Pluralist Politics in Mozambique: the Frelimo-Renamo Party System' (March 2003)
- WP24 Francisco Gutiérrez Sanín, 'Fragmentación electoral y política tradicional en Colombia – piezas para un rompecabezas en muchas dimensiones' (March 2003) – *English version forthcoming*
- WP25 Francisco Gutiérrez Sanín, 'Los tiempos de las involuciones democráticas' (March 2003) – *English version forthcoming*
- WP26 Manoj Srivastava, 'The Dynamics of achieving 'Power' and 'Reform' as a Positive-Sum Game: A report on the preliminary ethnographic explorations of the politics-governance nexus in Madhya Pradesh, India' (March 2003)
- WP27 Francisco Gutiérrez Sanín, 'Criminal Rebels? A discussion of war and criminality from the Colombian experience' (April 2003)
- WP28 Luis Eduardo Fajardo, 'From the Alliance for Progress to the Plan Colombia: A retrospective look at US aid to Colombia' (April 2003)
- WP29 Jean-Paul Faguet, 'Decentralisation and local government in Bolivia' (May 2003) – *Also available in Spanish*
- WP30 Maria Emma Wills & Maria Teresa Pinto, 'Peru's failed search for political stability (June 2003)
- WP31 Robert Hunter Wade, 'What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organisation and the shrinking of 'development space' (June 2003)
- WP32 Carlos Medina & Hermes Martínez, 'Violence and drug prohibition in Colombia' (August 2003)

The aim of the Crisis States Programme (CSP) at DESTIN's Development Research Centre is to provide new understanding of the causes of crisis and breakdown in the developing world and the processes of avoiding or overcoming them. We want to know why some political systems and communities, in what can be called the "fragile states" found in many of the poor and middle income countries, have broken down even to the point of violent conflict while others have not. Our work asks whether processes of globalisation have precipitated or helped to avoid crisis and social breakdown.

### **Crisis States Programme collaborators**

#### ***In India:***

Asia Development Research Institute (Patna, Bihar)  
NEIDS, North-East Hill University (Shillong)

#### ***In South Africa:***

Wits Institute of Social & Economic Research (WISER)  
Sociology of Work Workshop (SWOP)  
Department of Sociology  
(University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg)

#### ***In Colombia:***

IEPRI, Universidad Nacional de Colombia  
Universidad de los Andes  
Universidad del Rosario

### **Research Objectives**

- We will assess how constellations of power at local, national and global levels drive processes of institutional change, collapse and reconstruction and in doing so will challenge simplistic paradigms about the beneficial effects of economic and political liberalisation.
- We will examine the effects of international interventions promoting democratic reform, human rights and market competition on the 'conflict management capacity' and production and distributional systems of existing polities.
- We will analyse how communities have responded to crisis, and the incentives and moral frameworks that have led either toward violent or non-violent outcomes.
- We will examine what kinds of formal and informal institutional arrangements poor communities have constructed to deal with economic survival and local order.



**Director: Dr James Putzel**  
**Administrator: Wendy Foulds**  
**Editorial Assistant: Jonathan Curry-Machado**

Development Research Centre,  
Development Studies Institute (DESTIN),  
LSE, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE  
Tel: +44 (0)20 7849 4631 Fax: +44 (0)20 7955 6844  
e-mail: [csp@lse.ac.uk](mailto:csp@lse.ac.uk)

